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# On the tree-number of the power graph associated with some finite groups 
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#### Abstract

Given a group $G$, we define the power graph $\mathcal{P}(G)$ as follows: the vertices are the elements of $G$ and two vertices $x$ and $y$ are joined by an edge if $\langle x\rangle \subseteq\langle y\rangle$ or $\langle y\rangle \subseteq\langle x\rangle$. Obviously the power graph of any group is always connected, because the identity element of the group is adjacent to all other vertices. We consider $\kappa(G)$, the number of spanning trees of the power graph associated with a finite group $G$. In this paper, for a finite group $G$, first we represent some properties of $\mathcal{P}(G)$, then we are going to find some divisors of $\kappa(G)$, and finally we prove that the simple group $A_{6} \cong L_{2}(9)$ is uniquely determined by tree-number of its power graph among all finite simple groups.
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## 1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, all groups are finite and all the graphs under consideration are finite, simple (with no loops or multiple edges) and undirected. In this paper, we consider a well-known graph association with a finite group named as power graph. For a group $G$, the power graph $\mathcal{P}(G)$, is the graph that its vertices are all elements of the group $G$ and two different vertices $x$ and $y$ are joined by an edge if $\langle x\rangle \subseteq\langle y\rangle$ or $\langle y\rangle \subseteq\langle x\rangle$. We denote by $\mathcal{P}^{*}(G)$, the graph obtained by deleting the vertex 1 from $\mathcal{P}(G)$. The term power graph was introduced in [10], and after that power graphs have been investigated by many authors, see for instance [1, 3, 13]. The investigation of power graphs associated with algebraic structures is important, because these graphs have valuable applications (see the survey article [9]) and are related to automata theory (see [8]).

A spanning tree of a connected graph is a subgraph that contains all the vertices and is a tree. Counting the number of spanning trees in a connected graph is a problem of long-standing interest in various field of science. For a graph $\Gamma$, the number of spanning trees of $\Gamma$; denoted by $\kappa(\Gamma)$; is known as the complexity of $\Gamma$. By the definition of the power graph of any group, the identity element of the group is adjacent to all other vertices, so the graph is always connected. We denote by $\kappa(G)$, the number of spanning trees of the power graph $\mathcal{P}(G)$ of a group $G$. A well-known result due to Cayley [4] says that the complexity of the complete graph on $n$ vertices is $n^{n-2}$. In [5], it was shown that a finite group has a complete power graph if and only if it a cyclic $p$-group, where $p$ is

[^0]a prime number. Thus, as an immediate consequence of Cayley's result, we derive $\kappa\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}^{m}\right)=\left(p^{m}\right)^{p^{m}-2}$. In some investigations, the formula to compute the complexity $\kappa(G)$, for instance, where $G$ is the cyclic group $\mathbb{Z}_{n}$, dihedral group $D_{2 n}$, the generalized quaternion group $Q_{4 n}$ (see [12]), the simple groups $L_{2}(q)$, the extra-special $p$-groups of order $p^{3}$ and the Frobenius groups (see [11]) have been obtained. In this paper, we take a step forward and find some general results due to complexity $\kappa(G)$, for a finite group $G$, and finally, as an application of these results, we represent the following investigation.

For two isomorphic groups $G$ and $H$, clearly, $\kappa(G)=\kappa(H)$. However, generally the converse is not hold. For instance, for all finite elementary abelian 2-groups $G$, we have $\kappa(G)=1$.

A group $G$ from a class $\mathcal{C}$ is said to be recognizable in $\mathcal{C}$ by $\kappa(G)$ (shortly, $\kappa$-recognizable in $\mathcal{C}$ ) if every group $H \in \mathcal{C}$ with $\kappa(H)=\kappa(G)$ is isomorphic to $G$. In other words, $G$ is $\kappa$-recognizable in $\mathcal{C}$ if $h_{\mathcal{C}}(G)=1$, where $h_{\mathcal{C}}(G)$ is the (possibly infinite) number of pairwise non-isomorphic groups $H \in \mathcal{C}$ with $\kappa(H)=\kappa(G)$. We denote by $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{S}$ the classes of all finite groups and all finite simple groups, respectively. In [12], the first example of $\kappa$-recognizable group in class $\mathcal{S}$ was found.

Theorem 1.1. [12] The alternating group $A_{5}$ is $\kappa$-recognizable in the class of all finite simple groups, that is, $h_{\mathcal{S}}\left(A_{5}\right)=1$.

After that, in [11], the $\kappa$-recognizable group in class $\mathcal{S}$ for simple group $L_{2}(7)$, also, has been proven. However, by the results as to complexity $\kappa(G)$, which are found in this paper, we are going to offer a different and short proof for the following theorem.

Theorem 1.2. For the simple group $A_{6} \cong L_{2}(9)$, we have $h_{\mathcal{S}}\left(A_{6} \cong L_{2}(9)\right)=1$, in the class $\mathcal{S}$ of all finite simple groups.

## 2. Terminology and Previous Results

The notation and definitions used in this paper are standard and taken mainly from [2, 7, 14, 16]. We will cite only a few. Let $\Gamma=(V, E)$ be a simple graph. We denote by $\mathbf{A}=\mathbf{A}(\Gamma)$ the adjacency matrix of $\Gamma$. The Laplacian matrix $\mathbf{Q}$ of a graph $\Gamma$ is $\boldsymbol{\Delta}-\mathbf{A}$, where $\boldsymbol{\Delta}$ is the diagonal matrix whose $i$-th diagonal entry is the degree $v_{i}$ in $\Gamma$ and $\mathbf{A}$ is the adjacency matrix of $\Gamma$. The $\mathbf{J}_{m \times n}$ and $\mathbf{O}_{m \times n}$ denote the matrixes with $m$ rows and $n$ columns, where each of whose entries is +1 , and zero, respectively. Moreover, the identity matrix is denoted by $\mathbf{I}$. A matrix $\mathcal{A}$ of size $n$, which is the $n \times n$ square matrix, is denoted by $\mathcal{A}_{n \times n}$.

When $U \subseteq V$, the induced subgraph $\Gamma[U]$ is the subgraph of $\Gamma$ whose vertex set is $U$ and whose edges are precisely the edges of $\Gamma$ which have both ends in $U$. Two graphs are disjoint if they have no vertex in common, and edge-disjoint if they have no edge in common. If $\Gamma_{1}$ and $\Gamma_{2}$ are disjoint, we refer to their union as a disjoint union, and generally denote it by $\Gamma_{1} \oplus \Gamma_{2}$. By starting with a disjoint union of two graphs $\Gamma_{1}$ and $\Gamma_{2}$ and adding edges joining every vertex of $\Gamma_{1}$ to every vertex of $\Gamma_{2}$, one obtains the join of $\Gamma_{1}$ and $\Gamma_{2}$, denoted $\Gamma_{1} \vee \Gamma_{2}$. A clique in a graph is a set of pairwise adjacent vertices.

We denote by $\pi(n)$ the set of all prime divisors of a positive integer $n$. Given a group $G$, we will write $\pi(G)$ instead of $\pi(|G|)$, and denote by $\pi_{e}(G)$ the set of orders of all elements in a group $G$ and call this set the spectrum of $G$. The spectrum $\pi_{e}(G)$ of $G$ is closed under divisibility and determined uniquely from the set $\mu(G)$ of those elements in $\pi_{e}(G)$ that are maximal under the divisibility relation. In the case when $\mu(G)$ is a one-element set $\{n\}$, we write $\mu(G)=n$. Finally, two notation $\phi(n)$ and $c_{m}(G)$ are denoted in particular for the Euler's totient function, for positive integer $n$, and the number of distinct cyclic subgroups of order $m$ of $G$. Occasionally, when the group we are considering is clear from the context, we will simply write $c_{m}$ instead of $c_{m}(G)$. All further unexplained notation is standard and refers to [7].

At the following, we give several auxiliary results to be used later. First, we point some important lemmas due to the power graph.

Lemma 2.1. [5] Let $G$ be a finite group. Then $\mathcal{P}(G)$ is complete if and only if $G$ is a cyclic group of order 1 or $p^{m}$ for some prime number $p$ and for some natural number $m$.

Lemma 2.2. [13] Let $G$ be a finite p-group, where $p$ is a prime. Then $\mathcal{P}^{*}(G)$ is connected if and only if $G$ has a unique minimal subgroup.

Corollary 2.3. [13] Let $G$ be a finite p-group, where $p$ is a prime. Then $\mathcal{P}^{*}(G)$ is connected if and only if $G$ is either cyclic or generalized quaternion.

Lemma 2.4. [13] Let $G$ be a finite group. If $H$ is a subgroup of $G$, then $\mathcal{P}(H)$ is a subgraph of $\mathcal{P}(G)$. In particular, if $x$ is a p-element of $G$, where $p$ is a prime, then $\langle x\rangle$ is a clique in $\mathcal{P}(G)$.

In the sequel, we collected some results related to the number of spanning trees of a simple graph $\Gamma$. The following one is well known, see for example [16, Proposition 2.2.8].

Theorem 2.5. [16](Deletion-Contraction Theorem) The number of spanning trees of a graph $\Gamma$ satisfies the deletioncontraction recurrence $\kappa(\Gamma)=\kappa(\Gamma-e)+\kappa(\Gamma \cdot e)$, where $e \in E(\Gamma)$. In particular, if $e \in E(\Gamma)$ is a cut-edge, then $\kappa(\Gamma)=\kappa(\Gamma \cdot e)$.

Theorem 2.6. [12] Let $\Gamma$ be a connected graph and let $v$ be a cut vertex of $\Gamma$ with

$$
\Gamma-v=\Gamma_{1} \oplus \Gamma_{2} \oplus \cdots \oplus \Gamma_{c}
$$

where $\Gamma_{i}, i=1,2, \ldots, c$, is the $i$ th connected component of $\Gamma-v$ and $c=c(\Gamma-v)$. Set $\tilde{\Gamma}_{i}=\Gamma_{i}+v$. Then, there holds

$$
\kappa(\Gamma)=\kappa\left(\tilde{\Gamma}_{1}\right) \times \kappa\left(\tilde{\Gamma_{2}}\right) \times \cdots \kappa\left(\tilde{\Gamma}_{c}\right)
$$

Lemma 2.7. [12] If $H_{1}, H_{2}$, . ., $H_{t}$ are nontrivial subgroups of a group $G$ such that $H_{i} \cap H_{j}=\{1\}$, for each $1 \leqslant i<j \leqslant t$, then we have $\kappa(G)>\kappa\left(H_{1}\right) \cdot \kappa\left(H_{2}\right) \cdots \kappa\left(H_{t}\right)$.

Corollary 2.8. [12] Let $G$ be a finite group and let $p$ be the smallest prime such that $\kappa(G)<p^{(p-2)}$ Then $\pi(G) \subseteq$ $\pi((p-1)!)$.

Theorem 2.9. [15] The number of spanning trees of a graph $\Gamma$ with $n$ vertices is given by the formula

$$
\kappa(\Gamma)=\operatorname{det}(\mathbf{J}+\mathbf{Q}) / n^{2},
$$

where $\mathbf{J}$ denotes the matrix each of whose entries is +1 .
For instance, we consider the power graph of quaternion group

$$
Q_{8}=\left\langle x, y \mid x^{4}=1, x^{2}=y^{2}, y x=x^{-1} y\right\rangle
$$

and apply Theorem 2.9, to find $\kappa\left(Q_{8}\right)$. The power graph $\mathcal{P}\left(Q_{8}\right)$ is shown in Fig. 1.


Figure 1: The graph $\mathcal{P}\left(Q_{8}\right)$

For this graph, by the definition, the adjacency matrix $\mathbf{A}$ and the diagonal matrix $\boldsymbol{\Delta}$ have the following structures:

$$
\mathbf{A}=\left(\begin{array}{cc|cc|cc|c|c}
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
\hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
\hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
\hline 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
\hline 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad \& \quad \boldsymbol{\Delta}=\left(\begin{array}{cc|cc|cc|c|c}
3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 0 & 0 & 3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 7 & 0 \\
\hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 7
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Therefore

$$
\mathbf{J}+\mathbf{Q}=\mathbf{J}+(\boldsymbol{\Delta}-\mathbf{A})=\left(\begin{array}{cc|cc|cc|c|c}
4 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 4 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 1 & 1 & 4 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 4 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 4 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 4 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 8 & 0 \\
\hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 8
\end{array}\right)
$$

So by Theorem 2.9 and easy calculation, $\kappa\left(Q_{8}\right)=\frac{\operatorname{det}(\mathbf{J}+\mathbf{Q})}{8^{2}}=2^{11}$, as we expected by the following Theorem.
Theorem 2.10. [12] If $n$ is a power of 2 , then the tree-number of the power graph $\mathcal{P}\left(Q_{4 n}\right)$ is given by the formula $\kappa\left(Q_{4 n}\right)=2^{5 n-1} \cdot n^{2 n-2}$.

We conclude this section with two results which are used for our final main theorem (Theorem 1.2).
Theorem 2.11. [6] Let $q=p^{n}$, with $p$ prime and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $G=L_{2}(q)$. Then we have:

$$
\kappa(G)=p^{\frac{\left(q^{2}-1\right)(p-2)}{p-1}} \cdot \kappa\left(\mathbb{Z}_{\frac{q-1}{k}}\right)^{q(q+1) / 2} \cdot \kappa\left(\mathbb{Z}_{\frac{q+1}{k}}\right)^{q(q-1) / 2},
$$

where $k=\operatorname{gcd}(q-1,2)$, except exactly in the cases $(p, n)=(2,1),(3,1)$. In particular, we have
$A_{5} \cong L_{2}(5) \cong L_{2}(4)$ and $\kappa\left(A_{5}\right)=3^{10} \cdot 5^{18}$ (see [12])
$L_{3}(2) \cong L_{2}(7)$ and $\kappa\left(L_{3}(2)\right)=2^{84} \cdot 3^{28} \cdot 7^{40}$
$A_{6} \cong L_{2}(9)$ and $\kappa\left(A_{6}\right)=2^{180} \cdot 3^{40} \cdot 5^{108}$.
Lemma 2.12. [12] Let $G$ be a finite nonabelian simple group and let $p$ be a prime dividing the order of $G$. Then $G$ has at least $p^{2}-1$ elements of order $p$, or equivalently, there is at least $p+1$ cyclic subgroups of order $p$ in $G$.

## 3. Main parts of manuscript

As we mentioned before, by the definition of the power graph of any group, the identity element of the group is adjacent to all other vertices, so the graph is always connected. In this section, first, we look deeper to the power graph associated with a finite group and prove some necessarily lemmas, and then we are going to find some useful divisors of the $\kappa(G)$, for a finite group $G$.

Lemma 3.1. Let $G$ be a p-group, where $p$ is a prime. Then $\mathcal{P}^{*}(G)$ has exactly $c_{p}$ connected components, where $c_{p}$ is the number of distinct cyclic subgroups of order $p$ of $G$.

Proof. If $\mathcal{P}^{*}(G)$ is connected, then by Lemma $2.2, G$ has a unique minimal subgroup $\left(c_{p}=1\right)$, and so there is nothing to be proved. Therefore we assume that $\mathcal{P}^{*}(G)$ be disconnected. Obviously, by the definition of $\mathcal{P}(G)$, in every connected component of $\mathcal{P}^{*}(G)$, there must be at least one cyclic subgroup of order $p$. Assume that there are two distinct subgroups $\langle x\rangle$ and $\langle y\rangle$ in some connected components of $\mathcal{P}^{*}(G)$. Let the following path, be a shortest path from $x$ to $y$,

$$
x=x_{0} \sim x_{1} \sim x_{2} \sim \cdots \sim x_{n}=y .
$$

Certainly, $n \geq 2$ and $x \nsim x_{i}$, for each $i=2,3, \ldots, n$. Since $x \sim x_{1}$, by the definition, we have $x \in\left\langle x_{1}\right\rangle$ or $x_{1} \in\langle x\rangle$. We only consider the first case, and the second one goes similarly. Since $x_{1} \sim x_{2}$, it follows that $x_{1} \in\left\langle x_{2}\right\rangle$ or $x_{2} \in\left\langle x_{1}\right\rangle$. If $x_{1} \in\left\langle x_{2}\right\rangle$, then $x \in\left\langle x_{2}\right\rangle$ which is a contradiction. Therefore, $x_{2} \in\left\langle x_{1}\right\rangle$ But then $x, x_{2} \in\left\langle x_{1}\right\rangle$ and since $\left\langle x_{1}\right\rangle$ is a $p$-group, Lemma 2.4 shows that $\left\langle x_{1}\right\rangle \backslash\{1\}$ is a clique in $\mathcal{P}^{*}(G)$. Hence $x \sim x_{2}$, a contradiction again. This completes the proof.

An immediate consequence of Theorem 2.12 and Lemma 3.1 is the following:

Corollary 3.2. Let $G$ be a p-group, for some prime numbers $p$, and $H_{i}$ be the ith connected component of $\mathcal{P}^{*}(G)$. Then

$$
\kappa(G)=\kappa\left(\tilde{H}_{1}\right) \times \kappa\left(\tilde{H}_{2}\right) \times \cdots \times \kappa\left(\tilde{H}_{c_{p}}\right)
$$

where $\tilde{H}_{i}=H_{i}+1$, for $i=1,2, \ldots, c_{p}$.
Clearly if $H$ is a subgroup of $G$, then $\mathcal{P}^{*}(H)$ is a subgraph of $\mathcal{P}^{*}(G)$. However, the converse is not true, even if we consider the vertices of a connected components of $\mathcal{P}^{*}(G)$ in union with $\{1\}$. For instance, by the subgraph $\mathcal{P}^{*}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{4}\right)$ (see Fig. 2.), there is no subgroup $H$ of $G$, in which, $\mathcal{P}^{*}(H)$ be the connected component with 5 vertices.


Figure 2: The subgraph $\mathcal{P}^{*}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{4}\right)$

At the following, we show that in a particular situation, the converse could be hold.
Lemma 3.3. Let $G$ be a group and $\Omega$ be a connected component of $\mathcal{P}^{*}(G)$ which is a clique. Then there is a cyclic p-subgroup $H$ of $G$ with $p \in \pi(G)$ that $\mathcal{P}^{*}(H)=\Omega$.

Proof. By the definition of power graph, if $\left(o\left(g_{1}\right), o\left(g_{2}\right)\right)=1$, for $g_{1}, g_{2} \in G$, then $g_{1} \nsim g_{2}$ in $\mathcal{P}(G)$. Therefore, since $\Omega$ is a clique, for every element $\alpha$ in $V(\Omega)$, we must have $\pi(o(\alpha))=p$, for a prime number $p$. Let $\beta \in V(\Omega)$ be the vertex which its order has the largest power of the prime p. We claime that $\Omega=\mathcal{P}^{*}(\langle\beta\rangle)$. For every element $\alpha$ in $V(\Omega)$, if $\alpha \in\langle\beta\rangle$, then there is nothing to be proved. Let $\beta \in\langle\alpha\rangle$, but then $o(\beta) \mid o(\alpha)$, which implies that $o(\alpha)=o(\beta)$, because $o(\beta)$ is the largest power of the prime p , and so $\langle\alpha\rangle=\langle\beta\rangle$. This proves our claim.

Corollary 3.4. Let $G$ be a p-group, for prime number p. If all connected components of $\mathcal{P}^{*}(G)$ are clique, then $\pi(\kappa(G))=\{p\}$.

Proof. The proof is straightforward, by Lemma 3.3 and Theorem 2.12.
Now, we are ready to represent our results due to some useful divisors of the $\kappa(G)$, for a finite group $G$.
Lemma 3.5. Let $G$ be a group and $p \in \mu(G)$, for some prime number $p$ in $\pi(G)$, then $p^{p-2} \mid \kappa(G)$.
Proof. Let $g \in G$ be an element of order $p$, for a prime number $p \in \mu(G)$. By Lemma 2.1, $\mathcal{P}(\langle g\rangle)$ is a complete graph. Hence, we only need to prove $\langle g\rangle$ is a connected component in $\mathcal{P}^{*}(G)$. Assume that $g$ and $h$ are adjacent, for some $h \in G$. If $h \in\langle g\rangle$, then there is nothing to be proved. Let $g \in\langle h\rangle$ or equivalently $g=h^{\alpha}$, for some $\alpha$. But then $o(g) \mid o(h)$, which implies that $o(g)=o(h)$ because $o(g) \in \mu(G)$, and so $\langle g\rangle=\langle h\rangle$. Therefor, $\langle g\rangle$ is a connected component in $\mathcal{P}^{*}(G)$, and so by Theorem 2.12, $\kappa(\langle g\rangle)=p^{p-2} \mid \kappa(G)$, as required.

The following result is not limited to only connected algebraic graphs, and holds for all connected simple graphs.
Lemma 3.6. Let $\Gamma$ be a simple graph and $\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{k}\right\} \subseteq V(\Gamma)$. If the vertices $v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{k}$ have full-degree, then $|V(\Gamma)|^{k} \mid \operatorname{det}((\mathbf{J}+\mathbf{Q})$.

Proof. Let $|V(\Gamma)|=n$. Since $v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{k}$ have full-degree, the adjacency matrix $\mathbf{A}(\Gamma)$ and the diagonal matrix $\boldsymbol{\Delta}(\Gamma)$ have the following structures:

$$
\mathbf{A}(\Gamma)=\left(\begin{array}{c|c}
\mathbf{J}_{k \times k}-\mathbf{I}_{k \times k} & \mathbf{J}_{k \times(n-k)} \\
\hline \mathbf{J}_{(n-k) \times k} & \mathbf{A}\left(\Gamma \backslash\left\{v_{1}, \ldots v_{k}\right\}\right)
\end{array}\right), \quad \& \quad \boldsymbol{\Delta}(\Gamma)=\left(\begin{array}{c|c}
(n-1) \mathbf{I}_{k \times k} & \mathbf{O}_{k \times(n-k)} \\
\hline \mathbf{O}_{(n-k) \times k} & \Theta_{(n-k) \times(n-k)}
\end{array}\right),
$$

where $\Theta_{(n-k) \times(n-k)}$ is the diagonal matrix whose diagonal entries are the degree of vertexes $V(\Gamma) \backslash\left\{v_{1}, \ldots v_{k}\right\}$ in $\Gamma$. Therefore the matrix $\mathbf{J}+\mathbf{Q}=\mathbf{J}+(\boldsymbol{\Delta}(\Gamma)-\mathbf{A}(\Gamma))$ has the following structure:

$$
\mathbf{J}+\mathbf{Q}=\left(\begin{array}{c|c}
n \mathbf{I}_{k \times k} & \mathbf{O}_{k \times(n-k)} \\
\hline \mathbf{O}_{(n-k) \times k} & \mathbf{J}_{(n-k) \times(n-k)}-\left(\mathbf{A}\left(\Gamma \backslash\left\{v_{1}, \ldots v_{k}\right\}\right)-\Theta_{(n-k) \times(n-k)}\right)
\end{array}\right)
$$

Now, by the structure of $\mathbf{J}+\mathbf{Q}$, we have

$$
\operatorname{det}(\mathbf{J}+\mathbf{Q})=\operatorname{det}\left(n \mathbf{I}_{k \times k}\right) \cdot \operatorname{det}\left(\mathbf{J}_{(n-k) \times(n-k)}-\left(\mathbf{A}\left(\Gamma \backslash\left\{v_{1}, \ldots v_{k}\right\}\right)-\Theta_{(n-k) \times(n-k)}\right)\right)
$$

and so $n^{k} \mid \operatorname{det}(\mathbf{J}+\mathbf{Q})$, as a required.
In Lemma 3.5, we find a divisor for $\kappa(G)$, when a prime divisor of $G$ be in the $\mu(G)$. In the next theorem, we extend the result for any number in the $\mu(G)$, and represent a divisor for $\operatorname{det}(\mathbf{J}+\mathbf{Q}(\mathcal{P}(G))$.

Theorem 3.7. Let $G$ be a finite group and $m \in \mu(G)$. Then $|G| \cdot m^{\phi(m)} \mid \operatorname{det}(\mathbf{J}+\mathbf{Q}(\mathcal{P}(G))$.
Proof. Let $|G|=n$. By Lemma 3.6, since the vertex 1 has full-degree, we have

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(\mathbf{J}_{n \times n}+\mathbf{Q}(\mathcal{P}(G))\right)=n \cdot \operatorname{det}\left(\mathbf{J}_{(n-1) \times(n-1)}+\left(\boldsymbol{\Delta}-\mathbf{A}\left(\mathcal{P}^{*}(G)\right)\right)\right),
$$

where $\boldsymbol{\Delta}$ is the diagonal matrix whose diagonal entries are the degree of vertexes in $\mathcal{P}^{*}(G)$ in $\mathcal{P}(G)$.
Suppose that $g \in G$ be an element of order $m$. By the definition of power graph, since $x \in G$ is adjacent to $g$ if and only if $\langle x\rangle \leq\langle g\rangle$, therefore $\mathbf{A}\left(\mathcal{P}^{*}(G)\right)$ and $\boldsymbol{\Delta}$ have the following block-matrix structure:

$$
\mathbf{A}\left(\mathcal{P}^{*}(G)\right)=\left(\begin{array}{c|c|c}
(\mathbf{J}-\mathbf{I})_{\phi(m) \times \phi(m)} & \mathbf{J}_{\phi(m) \times((m-1)-\phi(m))} & \mathbf{O}_{\phi(m) \times(n-m)} \\
\hline \mathbf{J}_{((m-1)-\phi(m)) \times \phi(m)} & & \\
\hline \mathbf{O}_{(n-m) \times \phi(m)} & \Omega_{((n-1)-\phi(m)) \times((n-1)-\phi(m))} &
\end{array}\right),
$$

where $\Omega_{((n-1)-\phi(m)) \times((n-1)-\phi(m))}=\mathbf{A}(\mathcal{P}(G) \backslash\langle g\rangle)$, and

$$
\boldsymbol{\Delta}=\left(\begin{array}{c|c}
(m-1) \mathbf{I}_{\phi(m) \times \phi(m)} & \mathbf{O}_{\phi(m) \times((n-1)-\phi(m))} \\
\hline \mathbf{O}_{((n-1)-\phi(m)) \times \phi(m)} & \Lambda_{((n-1)-\phi(m)) \times((n-1)-\phi(m))}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $\Lambda_{((n-1)-\phi(m)) \times((n-1)-\phi(m))}$ is the diagonal matrix whose diagonal entries are the degree of elements of $\mathcal{P}(G) \backslash\langle g\rangle$ in $\mathcal{P}(G)$.

On the other hand, by considering the Laplacian matrix $\mathbf{Q}=\boldsymbol{\Delta}-\mathbf{A}\left(\mathcal{P}^{*}(G)\right)$ ), we must have the following block-matrix structure for $\mathbf{J}_{(n-1) \times(n-1)}+\mathbf{Q}$ :

$$
\mathbf{J}_{(n-1) \times(n-1)}+\mathbf{Q}=\left(\begin{array}{c|c}
m \mathbf{I}_{\phi(m) \times \phi(m)} & \mathbf{O}_{\phi(m) \times((m-1)-\phi(m))} \\
\hline \mathbf{O}_{((m-1)-\phi(m)) \times \phi(m)} & \mathbf{J}_{\phi(m) \times(n-m)} \\
\hdashline \mathbf{J}_{(n-m) \times \phi(m)} & \Theta_{((n-1)-\phi(m)) \times((n-1)-\phi(m))}
\end{array}\right),
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Theta_{((n-1)-\phi(m)) \times((n-1)-\phi(m))}= & \mathbf{J}_{((n-1)-\phi(m)) \times((n-1)-\phi(m))}+ \\
& \left(\Lambda_{((n-1)-\phi(m)) \times((n-1)-\phi(m))}-\Omega_{((n-1)-\phi(m)) \times((n-1)-\phi(m)))}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

In the sequel, $R i$ and $C j$ respectively designate the row $i$ and the column $j$ of the matrix $\mathbf{J}_{(n-1) \times(n-1)}+\mathbf{Q}$. We apply the following row and column operations in the $\operatorname{det}\left(\mathbf{J}_{(n-1) \times(n-1)}+\mathbf{Q}\right)$. We subtract row $R_{1}$ from row $R_{i}$, for $i=2,3, \ldots, \phi(m)$ and subsequently we add column $C_{j}$ to column $C_{1}$, for $j=2,3, \ldots, \phi(m)$. It is not too difficult to see that, step by step, we have:

again, we subtract $\frac{1}{m} C_{1}$ from $C_{j}$, for $j=m, \ldots, n-1$, and so
where $\Upsilon$ has the following structure

$$
\Upsilon=\left(\begin{array}{c|cc} 
& 1 & \cdots \\
\mathbf{O}_{((n-1)-\phi(m)) \times((m-1)-\phi(m))} & 1 \\
& \\
& \mathbf{O}_{((m-1)-\phi(m)) \times(n-m)} \\
& \frac{\phi(m)}{m} \mathbf{J}_{(n-m) \times((n-m)}
\end{array}\right),
$$

and so

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(\mathbf{J}_{(n-1) \times(n-1)}+\mathbf{Q}\right)=m^{\phi(m)} \cdot \operatorname{det}\left(\Theta_{((n-1)-\phi(m)) \times((n-1)-\phi(m))}-\Upsilon\right)
$$

On the other hand, by above mention discussion, $\operatorname{det}\left(\mathbf{J}_{n \times n}+\mathbf{Q}(\mathcal{P}(G))\right)=n \cdot \operatorname{det}\left(\mathbf{J}_{(n-1) \times(n-1)}+\mathbf{Q}\right.$. Therefore

$$
n \cdot m^{\phi(m)} \mid \operatorname{det}\left(\mathbf{J}_{n \times n}+\mathbf{Q}(\mathcal{P}(G))\right)
$$

as we claimed.
Corollary 3.8. Let $G$ be a group and $g \in G$. If the degree of $g$ is $k$ in $\mathcal{P}(G)$, then

$$
|G| \cdot(k+1)^{\phi(|g|)} \mid \operatorname{det}\left(\mathbf{J}_{n \times n}+\mathbf{Q}(\mathcal{P}(G))\right) .
$$

Proof. By the exact same way in the proof of Theorem 3.3, the result is straightforward.
At the end, as an application of our main results, we are going to prove Theorem 1.2. As a matter of fact, we verify that $h_{\mathcal{S}}\left(A_{6} \cong L_{2}(9)\right)=1$, in the class $\mathcal{S}$ of all finite simple groups.

Proof. For the proving of Theorem 1.2, assume that $G \in \mathcal{S}$, with $\kappa(G)=\kappa\left(A_{6}\right)=2^{180} \cdot 3^{40} \cdot 5^{108}$ (see Theorem 2.11). First of all, $G$ is a non-abelian simple group. Otherwise, $\kappa(G)=\kappa\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)=p^{p-2}$, for some prim number $p$, which is a contradiction.

In the next, we claim that $\pi(G) \subseteq\{2,3,5,7,11\}$. By Lemma 2.12, we have $c_{p} \geqslant p+1$, where $p \in \pi(G)$ and $c_{p}$ is the number of cyclic subgroups of order $p$ in $G$. Therefore, by Lemma 2.7,

$$
13^{11 \cdot 14} \nexists 2^{180} \cdot 3^{40} \cdot 5^{108}=\kappa(G)>\kappa\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)^{c_{p}} \geqslant \kappa\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)^{p+1}=p^{(p-2)(p+1)},
$$

which leads us to the conclusion.
Finally we are going to show that $G \cong A_{6} \cong L_{2}(9)$. If 7 or 11 be an element in $\mu(G)$, then by Lemma $3.5,7^{5}$, or $11^{9}$ divide $\kappa(G)$, which is a contradiction. Now, by results collected in [17], $G$ is isomorphic to one of the groups $A_{5} \cong L_{2}(4) \cong L_{2}(5), A_{6} \cong L_{2}(9), S_{4}(7)$. By Theorem 1.1, $G \nsubseteq A_{5}$. If $G \cong S_{4}(7)$, then by Theorem 3.7, since $56 \in \mu(G)$,

$$
|G| \cdot(56)^{\phi}(56)=\left|S_{4}(7)\right| \cdot 2^{72} \cdot 7^{24} \mid \operatorname{det}(\mathbf{J}+\mathbf{Q})
$$

which concludes that (by Theorem 2.9) $7^{20} \mid \kappa(G)$, again we have a contradiction. Therefore $G \cong A_{6}$, and the proof has been completed.
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