

AUT Journal of Mathematics and Computing



AUT J. Math. Com., 2(1) (2021) 61-71 DOI: 10.22060/ajmc.2021.19220.1043

Original Article

Some conformal vector fields and conformal Ricci solitons on N(k)-contact metric manifolds

Uday Chand Dea, Arpan Sardarb, Avijit Sarkarb

ABSTRACT: The target of this paper is to study N(k)-contact metric manifolds with some types of conformal vector fields like ϕ -holomorphic planar conformal vector fields and Ricci biconformal vector fields. We also characterize N(k)-contact metric manifolds allowing conformal Ricci almost soliton. Obtained results are supported by examples.

Review History:

Received:05 November 2020 Accepted:27 January 2021 Available Online:01 February 2021

Keywords:

N(k)-Contact metric manifolds ϕ -Holomorphic planar conformal vector fields Conformal vector fields Conformal Ricci solitons

AMS Subject Classification (2010):

53C15; 53C25

1. Introduction

Some intrinsic properties of contact metric manifolds can be explained beautifully by the existence of conformal vector fields on a contact metric manifold. For example, it is known that [26] if an m dimensional Riemannian manifold admits a maximal, i.e., $\frac{(m+1)(m+2)}{2}$ -parameter group of conformal motions, then it is conformally flat. It is also known that [16] a conformally flat Sasakian manifold is of constant curvature 1. Again, it is to be noted that a complete and connected Sasakian manifold of dimension greater than three is isometric to sphere if it admits a conformal motion. This result was determined by Okumura in 1962 [15]. Later study of contact manifolds admitting conformal motions was extended to N(k)-contact metric manifolds by Sharma [23]. Sharma also introduced the notion of holomorphic planar conformal vector fields in Hermitian manifolds [20]. Conformal vector fields are alternatively known as conformal motions or conformal transformations in differential geometry of contact manifolds ([24], [21], [22]). Planar conformal vector fields has also been studied in ([11], [20]).

We say that a vector field V on a contact manifold M is an infinitesimal contact transformation if $\pounds_V \omega = f \omega$ for a function f, where \pounds denote the Lie derivative operator and ω the contact form of the manifold. We also say

 $E-mail\ addresses:\ uc_de@yahoo.com,\ arpansardar51@gmail.com,\ avjaj@yahoo.co.in$

^aDepartment of Pure Mathematics, University of Calcutta, 35, Ballygunge Circular Road, Kolkata 700019, West Bengal, India ^bDepartment of Mathematics, University of Kalyani, Kalyani 741235, Nadia, West Bengal, India

^{*}Corresponding author.

that a vector field V on M is an automorphism of the contact metric structure if V leaves all the structure tensors of the manifold invariant [25].

In 1992, Baikoussis, Blair and Koufogiorgos [1] introduced a new type of contact metric manifolds known as N(k)-contact metric manifolds. N(k)-contact metric manifolds are such manifolds whose characteristic vector field ρ belongs to k-nullity distribution. Further properties of N(k)-contact manifolds were determined by Blair et al. [4]. More characterizations of N(k)-contact metric manifolds can be found in the papers ([6],[7],[13],[17]).

In the present paper, we would like to study N(k)-contact metric manifolds admitting planar conformal vector fields.

The notion of biconformal vector fields has been introduced in [10]. In that paper, the authors have also given the geometric interpretation of such vector fields. In the present paper we have introduced a new notion namely Ricci biconformal vector fields.

The theory of Ricci flow was developed by Hamilton [12] and it was applied by Perelman [18] to prove the century long well known open problem Poincare conjecture. After these works a major thrust has been seen in the study of Ricci flow. A Ricci soliton is a fixed solution of Hamilton's Ricci flow equation up to diffeomorphism and scaling. Pigola et al. [19] generalized Ricci soliton to almost Ricci soliton. The notion of conformal Ricci solitons can be found in the papers ([2], [9],[14]). For details about Ricci flow we refer [5].

The present paper is organized as follows:

After the introduction in Section 1, we recall required preliminaries in Section 2. Section 3 contains the study of ϕ -holomorphic planar conformal vector fields on N(k)-contact metric manifolds. In Section 4, we introduce the definition of Ricci biconformal vector fields and study them on N(k)-contact metric manifolds. Section 5 is devoted to study conformal Ricci almost soliton on N(k)-contact metric manifolds. Finally, we give an example of a three-dimensional N(k)-contact metric manifold to verify some results.

2. Preliminaries

An odd dimensional differentiable manifold M is called an almost contact manifold [3] if it satisfies

$$\phi^2 U = -U + \omega(U)\rho, \quad \omega(\rho) = 1, \tag{1}$$

where ϕ is a (1,1) tensor field, ρ is a unit vector field, ω is a 1-form and U is a smooth vector field on the manifold. Such a manifold is called almost contact metric manifold if there exists a Riemannian metric q such that

$$g(\phi U, \phi V) = g(U, V) - \omega(U)\omega(V) \tag{2}$$

for any smooth vector field U, V on M.

An almost contact metric manifold is called contact metric manifold when

$$d\omega(U,V) = g(U,\phi V) \tag{3}$$

is satisfied.

An almost contact metric structure is said to be normal if the induced almost complex structure J on the product manifold $M \times \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$J(U, f\frac{d}{dt}) = (\phi U - f\rho, \omega(U)\frac{d}{dt})$$

is integrable, where t is the coordinate of \mathbb{R} and f is a smooth function on $M \times \mathbb{R}$.

A normal contact metric manifold is called Sasakian manifold. If the characteristic vector field ρ is Killing, the manifold is known as K-contact manifold. Every Sasakian manifold is K-contact but the converse is true only for three-dimension.

It is familiar that the tangent sphere bundle of a flat Riemannian manifold allows a contact metric structure satisfying $R(U, V)\rho = 0$. On the other hand for Sasakian case

$$R(U, V)\rho = \omega(V)U - \omega(U)V,$$

where R is the Riemann curvature of the manifold. As a generalization of the above cases, Baikoussis et al. [1] introduced the notion of contact manifolds with ρ belonging to k-nullity distribution. Contact manifolds with ρ belonging to k-nullity distribution is known as N(k)-contact metric manifolds.

On a contact metric manifold, the (1,1)-tensor field h is defined as $h = \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{L}_{\rho} \phi$, where \mathcal{L} denotes the Lie-derivative. The tensor field h satisfies

$$h\phi = -\phi h$$
, trace $(h) = \text{trace}(\phi h) = 0$, $h\rho = 0$. (4)

Also on a contact metric manifold, we know

$$\nabla_U \rho = -\phi U - \phi h U,\tag{5}$$

where ∇ is the Levi-Civita connection on M.

The k-nullity distribution on a Riemannian manifold is given by

$$N(k) = \{ W \in TM : R(U, V)W = k[g(V, W)U - g(U, W)V] \},\$$

k being a real number and TM is the set of all vector fields on M.

For an N(k)-contact metric manifold, we know

$$h^2 = (k-1)\phi^2, (6)$$

$$(\nabla_U \omega)V = g(U + hU, \phi V), \tag{7}$$

$$(\nabla_U \phi)V = g(U + hU, V)\rho - \omega(V)(U + hU), \tag{8}$$

$$S(U,\rho) = 2nk\omega(U). \tag{9}$$

Again on a (2n+1)-dimensional N(k)-contact metric manifold the Ricci tensor S and the Riemannian curvature R are given by

$$S(U,V) = 2(n-1)g(U,V) + 2(n-1)g(hU,V) + [2nk - 2(n-1)]\omega(U)\omega(V),$$
(10)

$$R(U,V)\rho = k[\omega(V)U - \omega(U)V]. \tag{11}$$

In a three-dimensional Riemannian manifold, we have

$$R(U,V)W = g(V,W)QU - g(U,W)QV + S(V,W)U - S(U,W)V - \frac{r}{2}[g(V,W)U - g(U,W)V],$$
(12)

where Q is the Ricci operator and r is the scalar curvature of the manifold. Putting $W = \rho$ in (12) and using (9) and (11), we get

$$k[\omega(V)U - \omega(U)V] = \omega(V)QU - \omega(U)QV + (2k - \frac{r}{2})[\omega(V)U - \omega(U)V].$$
(13)

Replacing V by ρ in the above equation, we get

$$QU = \left[\frac{r}{2} - k\right]U + \left[3k - \frac{r}{2}\right]\omega(U)\rho. \tag{14}$$

From above it also follows that

$$Q\rho = 2k\rho. \tag{15}$$

3. N(k)-contact metric manifold with ϕ -holomorphic planar conformal vector fields

Definition 3.1. Let (M,g) be a (2n+1)-dimensional N(k)-contact metric manifold. A smooth vector field X of M is called a conformal vector field or conformal motion if

$$\pounds_X q = 2fq \tag{16}$$

for a smooth function f on M. In view of Koszul's formula, a vector field X on M is called conformal if

$$\nabla_U X = fU + \phi U \tag{17}$$

for a smooth function f on M.

Suppose the characteristic vector field ρ of an N(k)-contact metric manifold is conformal. Then in view of (5) and (17)

$$fU + \phi U = -\phi U - \phi hU.$$

For $U = \rho$ the above equation gives f = 0. Hence $\mathcal{L}_{\rho}g = 0$. Consequently, ρ is Killing. Thus, we get

Proposition 3.2. If the characteristic vector field ρ of an N(k)-contact metric manifold is conformal, then the manifold is K-contact.

Remark 3.3. In [23], N(k)-contact metric manifolds admitting conformal vector fields was characterized for dimension greater than three and it was proved that if an N(k)-contact metric manifold of dimension greater than three admits a conformal vector field X, then the manifold is either Sasakian or X is Killing. If, in particular, $X = \rho$ then by Proposition 3.1, the result is true for any dimension.

Since any three-dimensional K-contact manifold is Sasakian, by the above discussion and Proposition 3.1, we can state the following:

Corollary 3.4. If the characteristic vector field ρ of an N(k)-contact metric manifold is conformal, then the manifold is either Sasakian or K-contact.

Definition 3.5. A vector field X on an N(k)-contact metric manifold will be called ϕ -holomorphic planar conformal vector field if [20]

$$\nabla_U X = lU + m\phi U \tag{18}$$

for two smooth functions l and m on M and any smooth vector field U on M.

If m = 1, X becomes conformal.

Suppose an N(k)-contact metric manifold admits a ϕ -holomorphic planar conformal vector field X. Now we shall discuss the following two cases:

(i)
$$\phi X = 0$$

(ii) $\phi X \neq 0$.

Case i: Suppose an N(k)-contact metric manifold admits a conformal vector field X such that $\phi X = 0$. Then we have

$$X = \omega(X)\rho. \tag{19}$$

Differentiating the above equation with respect to U and using (5),(7) and (18), we get

$$lU + m\phi U = g(U + hU, \phi X)\rho - \omega(X)(\phi U + \phi hU).$$

Since $\phi X = 0$, the above equation takes the form

$$lU + m\phi U = -\omega(X)(\phi U + \phi hU). \tag{20}$$

By inner product with hU, we get from above

$$lg(U, hU) + mg(\phi U, hU) = -\omega(X)g(\phi U, hU). \tag{21}$$

Taking inner product in both sides of (20) with U, we have

$$lg(U,U) = -\omega(X)g(\phi h U, U). \tag{22}$$

From (19), we observe that $\omega(X)$ is non-zero. So From the above equation, we note that

$$g(hU, \phi U) = \frac{l}{\omega(X)}g(U, U). \tag{23}$$

Using (23) in (21), we see that

$$lg(U, hU) + \frac{ml}{\omega(X)}g(U, U) + lg(U, U) = 0.$$
 (24)

From (24), we infer that l = 0. If not, from (24), we observe that

$$hU = -(\frac{m}{\omega(X)} + 1)U.$$

Having taken inner product with ϕU , we conclude from above $g(hU, \phi U) = 0$. Hence, by (22), g(U, U) = 0, a contradiction. Thus we obtain the following:

Lemma 3.6. If an N(k)-contact metric manifold admits a ϕ -holomorphic planar conformal vector field X given by $\nabla_U X = lU + m\phi U$ such that $\phi X = 0$, then l = 0.

In view of (23)

$$g(\phi hU, U) = -\frac{l}{\omega(X)}g(U, U).$$

The above equation gives $\phi hU = -\frac{l}{\omega(X)}U$. Thus, by the above lemma, $\phi hU = 0$. Consequently, hU = 0, where U is arbitrary. Hence h = 0. It is known that [23], if in an N(k)-contact metric manifold h = 0, then the manifold is K-contact.

Thus, we can state the following:

Theorem 3.7. If an N(k)-contact metric manifold admits a ϕ -holomorphic planar conformal vector field X such that $\phi X = 0$, then the manifold is K-contact.

Case ii: Let us consider a ϕ -holomorphic conformal vector field on an N(k)-contact metric manifold with $\phi X \neq 0$. Then in view of (3.3), we get after simplification

$$R(U,V)X = (Ul)V - (Vl)U + (Um)\phi V - (Vm)\phi U + m[(\nabla_U\phi)V - (\nabla_V\phi)U].$$

By virtue of (8), the above equation gives

$$R(U,V)X = (Ul)V - (Vl)U + (Um)\phi V - (Vm)\phi U + m[\omega(U)(V+hV) - \omega(V)(U+hU)].$$
(25)

Replacing U by ϕU and V by ϕV in (25), we have

$$R(\phi U, \phi V)X = (\phi U l)\phi V - (\phi V l)\phi U - (\phi U m)V + (\phi U m)\omega(V)\rho + (\phi V m)U - (\phi V m)\omega(U)\rho.$$
(26)

Adding (25) and (26), we get

$$R(U,V)X + R(\phi U,\phi V)X = (Ul)V - (Vl)U + (Um)\phi V - (Vm)\phi U$$
$$+m[\omega(U)(V+hV) - \omega(V)(U+hU)]$$
$$+(\phi Ul)\phi V - (\phi Vl)\phi U - (\phi Um)V$$
$$+(\phi Um)\omega(V)\rho + (\phi Vm)U - (\phi Vm)\omega(U)\rho.$$

By inner product with X, we get

$$(Ul)g(V,X) - (Vl)g(U,X) + (Um)g(\phi V,X) - (Vm)g(\phi U,X)$$

$$+m[\omega(U)g(V+hV,X) - \omega(V)g(U+hU,X)] + (\phi Ul)g(\phi V,X)$$

$$-(\phi Vl)g(\phi U,X) - (\phi Um)g(V,X) + (\phi Um)\omega(V)\omega(X)$$

$$+(\phi Vm)g(U,X) - (\phi Vm)\omega(U)\omega(X) = 0.$$
(27)

Replacing U by ϕU and V by ϕV , we get from the above equation

$$(\phi Ul)g(\phi V, X) - (\phi Vl)g(\phi U, X)$$

$$+(\phi Um)g(\phi^2 V, X) - (\phi Vm)g(\phi^2 U, X)$$

$$+(\phi^2 Ul)g(\phi^2 V, X) - (\phi^2 Vl)g(\phi^2 U, X)$$

$$-(\phi^2 Um)g(\phi V, X) + (\phi^2 Vm)g(\phi U, X) = 0.$$

Putting $\phi V = X$ in the above equation, we have

$$(\phi U l) g(X, X) - (X l) g(\phi U, X) - (X m) g(\phi^2 U, X) - (\phi X l) g(\phi^2 U, X) - (\phi^2 U m) g(X, X) + (\phi X m) g(\phi U, X) = 0.$$
(28)

In (27) putting $U = \rho$, we get

$$(\rho l)g(V,X) - (Vl)\omega(X) + (\rho m)g(\phi V,X) + m[g(V + hV,X) - \omega(V)\omega(X)] = 0.$$

By the substitution $V = \phi X$ in the above equation, we infer that

$$(\rho m)g(\phi X, \phi X) - mg(\phi X, hX) = 0. \tag{29}$$

In (27) taking $V = \rho$, we see that

$$(Ul)\omega(X) - (\rho l)g(U,X) - (\rho m)g(\phi U,X) + m[\omega(U)\omega(X) - g(U + hU,X)] = 0.$$

Using $U = \phi X$ in the above equation, we get

$$(\phi X l)\omega(X) + (\rho m)g(\phi X, \phi X) - mg(\phi X, hX) = 0. \tag{30}$$

By virtue of (29) and (30), we get

$$(\phi X l)\omega(X) = 0.$$

If X is not orthogonal to ρ , we get from the above

$$\phi X l = 0. \tag{31}$$

Next, suppose X is orthogonal to ρ . Then putting U = X in (28), we have

$$\phi X l + X m = 0. \tag{32}$$

Thus, we are in a position to state the following:

Lemma 3.8. If an N(k)-contact metric manifold admits a non-null ϕ -holomorphic planar conformal vector field X described by $\nabla_U X = lU + m\phi U$, then

(i) $\phi X l = 0$, when X is not orthogonal to ρ

(ii) $\phi Xl + Xm = 0$, when X is orthogonal to ρ .

Here l and m are certain smooth functions.

Suppose X is not orthogonal to ρ . So, $\phi X l = 0$. This means

$$q(\operatorname{grad} l, \phi X) = 0. \tag{33}$$

Since g is metric connection, we know

$$(\nabla_W g)(U, V) = 0$$

for any U and V.

Taking $U = \operatorname{grad} l$ and $V = \phi X$, we get

$$\nabla_W g(\operatorname{grad} l, \phi X) - g(\nabla_W \operatorname{grad} l, \phi X) - g(\operatorname{grad} l, \nabla_W \phi X) = 0. \tag{34}$$

By virtue of (33) and (34), we infer

$$g(\nabla_W \operatorname{grad} l, \phi X) + g(\operatorname{grad} l, (\nabla_W \phi) X) + g(\operatorname{grad} l, \phi(\nabla_W X)) = 0.$$
 (35)

Putting $W = \phi X$ and using (8) and (18), we get

$$g(\nabla_{\phi X} \operatorname{grad} l, \phi X) + g(h\phi X, X)(\rho l) + \omega(X)\phi X l + \omega(X)h\phi X l - \phi(l\phi X + m\phi^2 X)l = 0.$$
(36)

Suppose $\operatorname{grad} l$ is constant. Then

$$g(\nabla_{\phi X} \operatorname{grad} l, \phi X) = 0. \tag{37}$$

Using (37) in (36), we infer

$$g(h\phi X, X) = 0.$$

Since X is non-zero and not necessarily ρ , $h\phi X = 0$. So, h = 0.

From [23], it is known that if in an N(k)-contact metric manifold h = 0, then the manifold is K-contact. So, in this case, the manifold is K-contact.

Thus, we are in a position to state the following:

Theorem 3.9. If an N(k) -contact metric manifold admits a ϕ -holomorphic planar conformal vector field X given by $\nabla_U X = lX + m\phi X$ such that $\phi X \neq 0$ and X is not orthogonal to ρ , then the manifold is K-contact, provided gradl is constant.

On the remaining case $\phi X l + X m = 0$ and X is orthogonal to ρ . Proceeding in the similar way, we get h = 0, provided grad $l + \phi$ grad m is constant.

Hence, we are in a position to state the following:

Theorem 3.10. If an N(k)-contact metric manifold admits a ϕ -holomorphic planar conformal vector field X given by $\nabla_U X = lX + m\phi X$ such that $\phi X \neq 0$ and X is orthogonal to ρ , then the manifold is K-contact, provided $\operatorname{grad} l + \phi \operatorname{grad} m$ is constant.

4. N(k)-contact metric manifold admitting Ricci biconformal vector fields

In [10], the authors have defined biconformal vector fields using two (0,2) tensor fields. They also have given the geometric importance of the study of such vector fields on a Riemannian manifold. Here, we define Ricci biconformal vector fields by taking the metric tensor field g and the Ricci tensor field S as the two (0,2) tensor fields. Let us introduce the following:

Definition 4.1. A vector field X on a Riemannian manifold will be called Ricci biconformal vector field if it satisfies the following equations

$$(\pounds_X q)(U, V) = \alpha q(U, V) + \beta S(U, V) \tag{38}$$

and

$$(\pounds_X S)(U, V) = \alpha S(U, V) + \beta g(U, V) \tag{39}$$

for arbitrary non-zero smooth functions α and β .

Let us consider a Riemannian manifold with Ricci biconformal vector field.

Replacing U by QU in (38), and subtracting it from (4.2) we get

$$g(\pounds_X QU, V) + g(QU, \pounds_X V) - S(\pounds_X U, V) - S(U, \pounds_X V) = \beta g(U - Q^2 U, V). \tag{40}$$

Putting V = U in the above equation, we get

$$U - Q^2 U = (\pounds_X Q) U. \tag{41}$$

Thus, we are in a position to state the following:

Lemma 4.2. Let X be a biconformal vector field in a Riemannian manifold. Then the operator \mathcal{L}_X annihilates the Ricci operator Q if and only if the square of the Ricci operator is identity operator.

Let us consider a three-dimensional N(k)-contact metric manifold. Then in view of (14) and (15)

$$(\pounds_X Q)\rho = (3k - \frac{r}{2})\pounds_X \rho. \tag{42}$$

In view of (41) and (42), we conclude the following:

Lemma 4.3. If a three-dimensional N(k)-contact metric manifold admits a Ricci biconformal vector field X then $Q^2\rho = \rho$ if and only if $\pounds_X\rho = 0$ or r = 6k, where r is the scalar curvature of the manifold and Q is the Ricci operator.

Suppose $\pounds_X \rho = 0$ or r = 6k. Then by virtue of (2.15), $(4k^2 - 1)\rho = 0$. Which yields $k = \pm \frac{1}{2}$. The above situation leads us to state the following:

Theorem 4.4. If a three-dimensional N(k)-contact metric manifold admits Ricci biconformal vector field X such that $\mathcal{L}_X \rho = 0$ or r = 6k, then $k = \pm \frac{1}{2}$, where r is the scalar curvature of the manifold.

Since every three-dimensional K-contact manifold is Sasakian, as direct consequences of the above theorem, we note the following:

Corollary 4.5. If a three-dimensional N(k)-contact metric manifold admits a Ricci biconformal vector field X such that $\mathcal{L}_X \rho = 0$ or r = 6k, then it is not K-contact and hence not Sasakian, where r is the scalar curvature of the manifold.

Corollary 4.6. No three-dimensional Sasakian manifold admits Ricci biconformal vector field X such that $\pounds_X \rho = 0$ or r = 6k, where r is the scalar curvature of the manifold.

5. Conformal Ricci almost soliton

Definition 5.1. The metric of an N(k)-contact metric manifold will be called conformal Ricci almost soliton [9] if it satisfies

$$(\pounds_X g)(U, V) + 2S(U, V) = \left[2\lambda - (p + \frac{2}{2n+1})\right]g(U, V), \tag{43}$$

where λ and p are smooth functions called soliton functions.

Let us consider an N(k)-contact metric manifold admits conformal Ricci almost soliton. In view of (10) after a straight forward computation, we get the following:

Lemma 5.2. In an N(k)-contact metric manifold, the following holds:

$$(\nabla_{U}S)(V,W) + (\nabla_{V}S)(W,U) - (\nabla_{W}S)(U,V)$$

$$= 2(n-1)[g(\nabla_{U}h)V,W) + g(\nabla_{V}h)W,U) - g(\nabla_{W}h)U,V)]$$

$$+2[2nk - 2(n-1)][g(V,\phi W)\omega(U) + g(hU,\phi V)\omega(W)$$

$$+g(U,\phi W)\omega(V)]. \tag{44}$$

Differentiating (43) with respect to W, we get

$$(\nabla_W \mathcal{L}_X g)(U, V) = [2d\lambda(W) - dp(W)]g(U, V) - 2(\nabla_W S)(U, V). \tag{45}$$

By a well known computation formula

$$g((\pounds_X \nabla)(U, V), W) = \frac{1}{2} (\nabla_U \pounds_X g)(V, W) + \frac{1}{2} (\nabla_V \pounds_X g)(U, W) - \frac{1}{2} (\nabla_W \pounds_X g)(U, V).$$

Using (45) in the above equation, we have

$$g((\pounds_{X}\nabla)(U,V),W) = d\lambda(U)g(V,W) + d\lambda(V)g(U,W) - d\lambda(W)g(U,V) + \frac{1}{2}[dp(U)g(V,W) + dp(V)g(U,W) - dp(W)g(U,V)] - [(\nabla_{U}S)(V,W) + (\nabla_{V}S)(U,W) - (\nabla_{W}S)(U,V)].$$
(46)

Using Lemma 5.1 in the above equation, we get

$$g((\pounds_{X}\nabla)(U,V),W) = d\lambda(U)g(V,W) + d\lambda(V)g(U,W) - d\lambda(W)g(U,V) + \frac{1}{2}[dp(U)g(V,W) + dp(V)g(U,W) - dp(W)g(U,V)] - 2(n-1)[g(\nabla_{U}h)V,W) + g(\nabla_{V}h)W,U) - g(\nabla_{W}h)U,V)] - 2[2nk - 2(n-1)][g(V,\phi W)\omega(U) + g(hU,\phi V)\omega(W) + g(U,\phi W)\omega(V)].$$
(47)

Putting $V = \rho$ and n = 1 in the above equation, we get for a three-dimensional N(k)-contact metric manifold

$$g((\pounds_{X}\nabla)(U,\rho),W) = d\lambda(U)\omega(W) + d\lambda(\rho)g(U,W) - d\lambda(W)\omega(U)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2}[dp(U)\omega(W) + dp(\rho)g(U,W) - dp(W)\omega(U)]$$

$$- 4kg(U,\phi W).$$
(48)

Putting W = U in the above equation, after a straight forward computation, we get

$$g((\pounds_X \nabla)(U, \rho), U) = g(\operatorname{grad}\lambda + \frac{\operatorname{grad}p}{2}, \rho)g(U, U).$$
 (49)

If $\operatorname{grad} \lambda + \frac{\operatorname{grad} p}{2}$ is orthogonal to ρ , then we have

$$(\pounds_X \nabla)(U, \rho) = 0. \tag{50}$$

Differentiating (50), we get

$$\nabla_V(\pounds_X\nabla)(U,\rho)=0.$$

The above equation implies

$$(\nabla_{V} \pounds_{X} \nabla)(U, \rho) + (\pounds_{X} \nabla)(\nabla_{V} U, \rho) + (\pounds_{X} \nabla)(U, \nabla_{V} \rho) = 0.$$
(51)

Using (5) in the above equation, we get

$$(\nabla_{V} \pounds_{X} \nabla)(U, \rho) = (\pounds_{X} \nabla)(U, \phi V) + (\pounds_{X} \nabla)(U, \phi h V). \tag{52}$$

Now, we state the following:

Lemma 5.3. If a three-dimensional N(k)-contact metric manifold admits Ricci almost soliton and grad $\lambda + \frac{\text{grad}p}{2}$ is orthogonal to ρ , then

$$(\nabla_V \pounds_X \nabla)(U, \rho) = (\pounds_X \nabla)(U, \phi V) + (\pounds_X \nabla)(U, \phi h V).$$

By a well known computation, we get

$$(\pounds_X R)(U, V)W = (\nabla_U \pounds_X \nabla)(V, W) - (\nabla_V \pounds_X \nabla)(U, W).$$

Putting $V = W = \rho$ and using Lemma 5.2, we get

$$(\pounds_X R)(U, \rho)\rho = 0. \tag{53}$$

On the other hand by direct computation from (11), we get

$$(\pounds_X R)(U,\rho)\rho = \pounds_X(k[U-\omega(U)]\rho) - k[\pounds_X U - \omega(\pounds_X U)\rho] -k[\omega(\pounds_X \rho)U - \omega(U)\pounds_X \rho] - R(U,\rho)\pounds_X \rho.$$
(54)

Comparing (53) and (54), we get

$$k(\pounds_X\omega(U))\rho + k(\omega(\pounds_X\rho)U - \omega(U)\pounds_X\rho) - R(U,\rho)\pounds_X\rho = 0.$$

By inner product and using (11), we get

$$k(\pounds_X\omega)U - kg(U - \omega(U)\rho, \pounds_X\rho) = 0.$$

For $U = \rho$, the above equation gives k = 0.

It is known that [23] a three-dimensional N(k)-contact metric manifold with k=0 is flat. Thus we are in a position to state the following:

Theorem 5.4. If a three-dimensional N(k)-contact metric manifold admits Ricci almost soliton with soliton functions λ and p such that $\operatorname{grad} \lambda + \frac{\operatorname{grad} p}{2}$ is orthogonal to ρ , then the manifold is flat.

6. Example

Example of a three-dimensional N(k)-contact metric manifold has been given in the paper of Sharma [23]. For the purpose of illustration, we use the example here.

Let $M = \{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3, (x, y, z) \neq (0, 0, 0)\}$, where (x, y, z) are the standard coordinates of \mathbb{R}^3 . Let us consider three linearly independent vector fields e_1, e_2, e_3 such that

$$[e_1, e_2] = (1+a)e_3, \quad [e_2, e_3] = 2e_1 \quad and \quad [e_3, e_1] = (1-a)e_2,$$

where $a = \pm \sqrt{1-k}$, a = constant.

Let g be the Riemannian metric defined by

$$g(e_1, e_1) = g(e_2, e_2) = g(e_3, e_3) = 1$$

and

$$g(e_1, e_3) = g(e_2, e_3) = g(e_1, e_2) = 0.$$

Let ω be a 1-form defined by $\omega(Z) = g(Z, e_1)$ for any vector field Z on M. Let ϕ be (1,1) tensor field defined by

$$\phi e_1 = 0$$
, $\phi e_2 = e_3$, $\phi e_3 = -e_2$.

Then M is an N(k)-contact metric manifold [23] for $k = (1 - a^2)$. Using Koszul's formula we have

$$\nabla_{e_1} e_1 = 0$$
, $\nabla_{e_1} e_2 = 0$, $\nabla_{e_1} e_3 = 0$,

$$\nabla_{e_2} e_1 = -(1+a)e_3, \quad \nabla_{e_2} e_2 = 0, \quad \nabla_{e_2} e_3 = (1+a)e_1,$$

$$\nabla_{e_3} e_1 = (1-a)e_2, \quad \nabla_{e_3} e_2 = -(1-a)e_1, \quad \nabla_{e_3} e_3 = 0.$$

We can also calculate the components of the Ricci tensor as

$$S(e_1, e_1) = 2(1 - a^2), \quad S(e_2, e_2) = 0, \quad S(e_3, e_3) = 0.$$
 (55)

If we take a=0, we note that $X=e_1$ is a ϕ -holomorphic planar conformal vector field for l=0 and m=-1 such that $\phi e_1=0$. Hence the example fits for Lemma 3.1. Then for a=0, k=1 and the manifold is K-contact and hence Sasakian. This agrees with Theorem 3.1. The vector fields e_2 and e_3 are not ϕ -holomorphic planar conformal.

For a = 0, the vector field e_2 is not Ricci biconformal. We see that if e_2 would Ricci biconformal, then

$$(\mathcal{L}_{e_2}g)(e_1, e_1) = \alpha g(e_1, e_1) + \beta S(e_1, e_1)$$

and

$$(\pounds_{e_2}S)(e_1, e_1) = \alpha S(e_1, e_1) + \beta g(e_1, e_1)$$

gives $\alpha = 0 = \beta$ but

$$(\pounds_{e_2}g)(e_1, e_3) = \alpha g(e_1, e_3) + \beta S(e_1, e_3)$$

gives $\alpha = 1$. So e_2 is not Ricci biconformal. Similarly e_3 and e_1 are not Ricci biconformal. This validates Corollary 4.1 and Corollary 4.2.

For $a=\pm 1$, the manifold is conformal Ricci almost soliton for $\lambda=\frac{p}{2}+\frac{2}{3}-a^2$. In that case r=0 and the manifold is flat. This argues that Theorem 5.1 is authentic.

References

- [1] C. BAIKOUSSIS, D. E. BLAIR, AND T. KOUFOGIORGOS, A decomposition of the curvature tensor of a contact manifold satisfying $R(X,Y)\xi = \kappa (\eta(Y)X \eta(X)Y)$, Mathematics Technical Report, University of Ioannina, (1992).
- [2] N. Basu and A. Bhattacharyya, Conformal Ricci soliton in Kenmotsu manifold, Glob. J. Adv. Res. Class. Mod. Geom., 4 (2015), pp. 15–21.
- [3] D. E. Blair, *Riemannian geometry of contact and symplectic manifolds*, vol. 203 of Progress in Mathematics, Birkhäuser Boston, Ltd., Boston, MA, second ed., 2010.
- [4] D. E. Blair, T. Koufogiorgos, and B. J. Papantoniou, Contact metric manifolds satisfying a nullity condition, Israel J. Math., 91 (1995), pp. 189–214.
- [5] H.-D. CAO AND B. CHOW, Recent developments on the Ricci flow, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.), 36 (1999), pp. 59–74.
- [6] U. C. De, Certain results on N(k)-contact metric manifolds, Tamkang J. Math., 49 (2018), pp. 205–220.
- [7] U. C. DE, A. YI LDIZ, AND S. GHOSH, On a class of N(k)-contact metric manifolds, Math. Rep. (Bucur.), 16(66) (2014), pp. 207–217.
- [8] S. Deshmukh, Geometry of conformal vector fields, Arab J. Math. Sci., 23 (2017), pp. 44–73.
- [9] A. E. FISCHER, An introduction to conformal Ricci flow, vol. 21, 2004, pp. S171–S218. A spacetime safari: essays in honour of Vincent Moncrief.
- [10] A. GARCÍA-PARRADO AND J. M. M. SENOVILLA, Bi-conformal vector fields and their applications, Classical Quantum Gravity, 21 (2004), pp. 2153–2177.

- [11] A. Ghosh, Holomorphically planar conformal vector fields on contact metric manifolds, Acta Math. Hungar., 129 (2010), pp. 357–367.
- [12] R. S. Hamilton, *The Ricci flow on surfaces*, in Mathematics and general relativity (Santa Cruz, CA, 1986), vol. 71 of Contemp. Math., Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1988, pp. 237–262.
- [13] J.-B. Jun and U. C. De, On $N(\kappa)$ -contact metric manifolds satisfying certain curvature conditions, Kyung-pook Mathematical Journal, 51 (2011), pp. 457–468.
- [14] H. G. NAGARAJA AND K. VENU, f-Kenmotsu metric as conformal Ricci soliton, An. Univ. Vest Timiş. Ser. Mat.-Inform., 55 (2017), pp. 119–127.
- [15] M. Okumura, On infinitesimal conformal and projective transformations of normal contact spaces, Tohoku Math. J. (2), 14 (1962), pp. 398–412.
- [16] ——, Some remarks on space with a certain contact structure, Tohoku Math. J. (2), 14 (1962), pp. 135–145.
- [17] C. ÖZGÜR AND S. SULAR, On N(k)-contact metric manifolds satisfying certain conditions, SUT J. Math, 44 (2008), pp. 89 99.
- [18] G. Perelman, The entropy formula for the Ricci flow and its geometric applications, arXiv:math/0211159v1, (2002), p. 39.
- [19] S. Pigola, M. Rigoli, M. Rimoldi, and A. G. Setti, *Ricci almost solitons*, Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (5), 10 (2011), pp. 757–799.
- [20] R. Sharma, Holomorphically planar conformal vector fields on almost Hermitian manifolds, in Recent advances in Riemannian and Lorentzian geometries (Baltimore, MD, 2003), vol. 337 of Contemp. Math., Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2003, pp. 145–154.
- [21] ——, Certain results on K-contact and (k, μ) -contact manifolds, J. Geom., 89 (2008), pp. 138–147.
- [22] ——, Conformal and projective characterizations of an odd dimensional unit sphere, Kodai Math. J., 42 (2019), pp. 160–169.
- [23] R. Sharma and D. E. Blair, Conformal motion of contact manifolds with characteristic vector field in the k-nullity distribution, Illinois J. Math., 40 (1996), pp. 553–563.
- [24] R. Sharma and L. Vrancken, Conformal classification of (k, μ) -contact manifolds, Kodai Math. J., 33 (2010), pp. 267–282.
- [25] S. Tanno, Some transformations on manifolds with almost contact and contact metric structures, Tohoku Math. J. (2), 15 (1963), pp. 140–147.
- [26] K. Yano, Integral formulas in Riemannian geometry, Pure and Applied Mathematics, No. 1, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1970.

Please cite this article using:

Uday Chand De, Arpan Sardar, Avijit Sarkar, Some conformal vector fields and conformal Ricci solitons on N(k)-contact metric manifolds, AUT J. Math. Com., 2(1) (2021) 61-71 DOI: $10.22060/\mathrm{ajmc}.2021.19220.1043$

