



Some conformal vector fields and conformal Ricci solitons on $N(k)$ -contact metric manifolds

Uday Chand De^a, Arpan Sardar^b, Avijit Sarkar^{*c}

^aDepartment of Pure Mathematics, University of Calcutta, 35, Ballygunge Circular Road, Kolkata 700019, West Bengal, India

^bDepartment of Mathematics, University of Kalyani, Kalyani 741235, Nadia, West Bengal, India

^cDepartment of Mathematics, University of Kalyani, Kalyani 741235, Nadia, West Bengal, India

ABSTRACT: The target of this paper is to study $N(k)$ -contact metric manifolds with some types of conformal vector fields like ϕ -holomorphic planar conformal vector fields and Ricci biconformal vector fields. We also characterize $N(k)$ -contact metric manifolds allowing conformal Ricci almost soliton. Obtained results are supported by examples.

Review History:

Received:05 November 2020

Revised:24 January 2021

Accepted:27 January 2021

Available Online:01 February 2021

Keywords:

$N(k)$ -Contact metric manifolds

ϕ -Holomorphic planar conformal vector fields

Conformal vector fields

Conformal Ricci solitons

AMS Subject classifications:

53C15, 53C25

1. Introduction

Some intrinsic properties of contact metric manifolds can be explained beautifully by the existence of conformal vector fields on a contact metric manifold. For example, it is known that [26] if an m dimensional Riemannian manifold admits a maximal, i.e., $\frac{(m+1)(m+2)}{2}$ -parameter group of conformal motions, then it is conformally flat. It is also known that [15] a conformally flat Sasakian manifold is of constant curvature 1. Again, it is to be noted that a complete and connected Sasakian manifold of dimension greater than three is isometric to sphere if it admits a conformal motion. This result was determined by Okumura in 1962 [16]. Later study of contact manifolds admitting conformal motions was extended to $N(k)$ -contact metric manifolds by Sharma [20]. Sharma also introduced the notion of holomorphic planar conformal vector fields in Hermitian manifolds [21]. Conformal vector fields are alternatively known as conformal motions or conformal transformations in differential geometry of contact manifolds ([22], [23], [24]). Planar conformal vector fields has also been studied in ([11], [21]).

We say that a vector field V on a contact manifold M is an infinitesimal contact transformation if $\mathcal{L}_V\omega = f\omega$ for a function f , where \mathcal{L} denote the Lie derivative operator and ω the contact form of the manifold. We also say

^{*}Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: uc_de@yahoo.com, arpansardar51@gmail.com, avjaj@yahoo.co.in

that a vector field V on M is an automorphism of the contact metric structure if V leaves all the structure tensors of the manifold invariant [25].

In 1992, Baikoussis, Blair and Koufogiorgos [2] introduced a new type of contact metric manifolds known as $N(k)$ -contact metric manifolds. $N(k)$ -contact metric manifolds are such manifolds whose characteristic vector field ρ belongs to k -nullity distribution. Further properties of $N(k)$ -contact manifolds were determined by Blair et al. [5]. More characterizations of $N(k)$ -contact metric manifolds can be found in the papers ([7],[8],[13],[17]).

In the present paper, we would like to study $N(k)$ -contact metric manifolds admitting planar conformal vector fields.

The notion of biconformal vector fields has been introduced in [1]. In that paper, the authors have also given the geometric interpretation of such vector fields. In the present paper we have introduced a new notion namely Ricci biconformal vector fields.

The theory of Ricci flow was developed by Hamilton [12] and it was applied by Perelman [18] to prove the century long well known open problem Poincare conjecture. After these works a major thrust has been seen in the study of Ricci flow. A Ricci soliton is a fixed solution of Hamilton's Ricci flow equation up to diffeomorphism and scaling. Pigola et al. [19] generalized Ricci soliton to almost Ricci soliton. The notion of conformal Ricci solitons can be found in the papers ([3], [10],[14]). For details about Ricci flow we refer [6].

The present paper is organized as follows:

After the introduction in Section 1, we recall required preliminaries in Section 2. Section 3 contains the study of ϕ -holomorphic planar conformal vector fields on $N(k)$ -contact metric manifolds. In Section 4, we introduce the definition of Ricci biconformal vector fields and study them on $N(k)$ -contact metric manifolds. Section 5 is devoted to study conformal Ricci almost soliton on $N(k)$ -contact metric manifolds. Finally, we give an example of a three-dimensional $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold to verify some results.

2. Preliminaries

An odd dimensional differentiable manifold M is called an almost contact manifold [4] if it satisfies

$$\phi^2 U = -U + \omega(U)\rho, \quad \omega(\rho) = 1, \tag{1}$$

where ϕ is a (1,1) tensor field, ρ is a unit vector field, ω is a 1-form and U is a smooth vector field on the manifold. Such a manifold is called almost contact metric manifold if there exists a Riemannian metric g such that

$$g(\phi U, \phi V) = g(U, V) - \omega(U)\omega(V) \tag{2}$$

for any smooth vector field U, V on M .

An almost contact metric manifold is called contact metric manifold when

$$d\omega(U, V) = g(U, \phi V) \tag{3}$$

is satisfied.

An almost contact metric structure is said to be normal if the induced almost complex structure J on the product manifold $M \times \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$J(U, f \frac{d}{dt}) = (\phi U - f\rho, \omega(U) \frac{d}{dt})$$

is integrable, where t is the coordinate of \mathbb{R} and f is a smooth function on $M \times \mathbb{R}$.

A normal contact metric manifold is called Sasakian manifold. If the characteristic vector field ρ is Killing, the manifold is known as K -contact manifold. Every Sasakian manifold is K -contact but the converse is true only for three-dimension.

It is familiar that the tangent sphere bundle of a flat Riemannian manifold allows a contact metric structure satisfying $R(U, V)\rho = 0$. On the other hand for Sasakian case

$$R(U, V)\rho = \omega(V)U - \omega(U)V,$$

where R is the Riemann curvature of the manifold. As a generalization of the above cases, Baikoussis et al. [2] introduced the notion of contact manifolds with ρ belonging to k -nullity distribution. Contact manifolds with ρ belonging to k -nullity distribution is known as $N(k)$ -contact metric manifolds.

On a contact metric manifold, the (1,1)-tensor field h is defined as $h = \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{L}_\rho\phi$, where \mathcal{L} denotes the Lie-derivative. The tensor field h satisfies

$$h\phi = -\phi h, \quad \text{trace}(h) = \text{trace}(\phi h) = 0, \quad h\rho = 0. \tag{4}$$

Also on a contact metric manifold, we know

$$\nabla_U \rho = -\phi U - \phi hU, \tag{5}$$

where ∇ is the Levi-Civita connection on M .

The k -nullity distribution on a Riemannian manifold is given by

$$N(k) = \{W \in TM : R(U, V)W = k[g(V, W)U - g(U, W)V]\},$$

k being a real number and TM is the set of all vector fields on M .

For an $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold, we know

$$h^2 = (k - 1)\phi^2, \tag{6}$$

$$(\nabla_U \omega)V = g(U + hU, \phi V), \tag{7}$$

$$(\nabla_U \phi)V = g(U + hU, V)\rho - \omega(V)(U + hU), \tag{8}$$

$$S(U, \rho) = 2nk\omega(U). \tag{9}$$

Again on a $(2n + 1)$ -dimensional $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold the Ricci tensor S and the Riemannian curvature R are given by

$$\begin{aligned} S(U, V) &= 2(n - 1)g(U, V) + 2(n - 1)g(hU, V) \\ &\quad + [2nk - 2(n - 1)]\omega(U)\omega(V), \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

$$R(U, V)\rho = k[\omega(V)U - \omega(U)V]. \tag{11}$$

In a three-dimensional Riemannian manifold, we have

$$\begin{aligned} R(U, V)W &= g(V, W)QU - g(U, W)QV + S(V, W)U - S(U, W)V \\ &\quad - \frac{r}{2}[g(V, W)U - g(U, W)V], \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

where Q is the Ricci operator and r is the scalar curvature of the manifold. Putting $W = \rho$ in (12) and using (9) and (11), we get

$$\begin{aligned} k[\omega(V)U - \omega(U)V] &= \omega(V)QU - \omega(U)QV \\ &\quad + (2k - \frac{r}{2})[\omega(V)U - \omega(U)V]. \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

Replacing V by ρ in the above equation, we get

$$QU = [\frac{r}{2} - k]U + [3k - \frac{r}{2}]\omega(U)\rho. \tag{14}$$

From above it also follows that

$$Q\rho = 2k\rho. \tag{15}$$

3. $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold with ϕ -holomorphic planar conformal vector fields

Definition 3.1. Let (M, g) be a $(2n + 1)$ -dimensional $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold. A smooth vector field X of M is called a conformal vector field or conformal motion if

$$\mathcal{L}_X g = 2fg \tag{16}$$

for a smooth function f on M . In view of Koszul's formula, a vector field X on M is called conformal if

$$\nabla_U X = fU + \phi U \tag{17}$$

for a smooth function f on M .

Suppose the characteristic vector field ρ of an $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold is conformal. Then in view of (5) and (17)

$$fU + \phi U = -\phi U - \phi hU.$$

For $U = \rho$ the above equation gives $f = 0$. Hence $\mathcal{L}_\rho g = 0$. Consequently, ρ is Killing. Thus, we get

Proposition 3.2. *If the characteristic vector field ρ of an $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold is conformal, then the manifold is K -contact.*

Remark 3.3. *In [20], $N(k)$ -contact metric manifolds admitting conformal vector fields was characterized for dimension greater than three and it was proved that if an $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold of dimension greater than three admits a conformal vector field X , then the manifold is either Sasakian or X is Killing. If, in particular, $X = \rho$ then by Proposition 3.1, the result is true for any dimension.*

Since any three-dimensional K -contact manifold is Sasakian, by the above discussion and Proposition 3.1, we can state the following:

Corollary 3.4. *If the characteristic vector field ρ of an $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold is conformal, then the manifold is either Sasakian or K -contact.*

Definition 3.5. *A vector field X on an $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold will be called ϕ -holomorphic planar conformal vector field if [21]*

$$\nabla_U X = lU + m\phi U \tag{18}$$

for two smooth functions l and m on M and any smooth vector field U on M .

If $m = 1$, X becomes conformal.

Suppose an $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold admits a ϕ -holomorphic planar conformal vector field X . Now we shall discuss the following two cases:

- (i) $\phi X = 0$
- (ii) $\phi X \neq 0$.

Case i: Suppose an $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold admits a conformal vector field X such that $\phi X = 0$. Then we have

$$X = \omega(X)\rho. \tag{19}$$

Differentiating the above equation with respect to U and using (5),(7) and (18), we get

$$lU + m\phi U = g(U + hU, \phi X)\rho - \omega(X)(\phi U + \phi hU).$$

Since $\phi X = 0$, the above equation takes the form

$$lU + m\phi U = -\omega(X)(\phi U + \phi hU). \tag{20}$$

By inner product with hU , we get from above

$$lg(U, hU) + mg(\phi U, hU) = -\omega(X)g(\phi U, hU). \tag{21}$$

Taking inner product in both sides of (20) with U , we have

$$lg(U, U) = -\omega(X)g(\phi hU, U). \tag{22}$$

From (19), we observe that $\omega(X)$ is non-zero. So From the above equation, we note that

$$g(hU, \phi U) = \frac{l}{\omega(X)}g(U, U). \tag{23}$$

Using (23) in (21), we see that

$$lg(U, hU) + \frac{ml}{\omega(X)}g(U, U) + lg(U, U) = 0. \tag{24}$$

From (24), we infer that $l = 0$. If not, from (24), we observe that

$$hU = -\left(\frac{m}{\omega(X)} + 1\right)U.$$

Having taken inner product with ϕU , we conclude from above $g(hU, \phi U) = 0$. Hence, by (22), $g(U, U) = 0$, a contradiction. Thus we obtain the following:

Lemma 3.6. *If an $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold admits a ϕ -holomorphic planar conformal vector field X given by $\nabla_U X = lU + m\phi U$ such that $\phi X = 0$, then $l = 0$.*

In view of (23)

$$g(\phi hU, U) = -\frac{l}{\omega(X)}g(U, U).$$

The above equation gives $\phi hU = -\frac{l}{\omega(X)}U$. Thus, by the above lemma, $\phi hU = 0$. Consequently, $hU = 0$, where U is arbitrary. Hence $h = 0$. It is known that [20], if in an $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold $h = 0$, then the manifold is K -contact.

Thus, we can state the following:

Theorem 3.7. *If an $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold admits a ϕ -holomorphic planar conformal vector field X such that $\phi X = 0$, then the manifold is K -contact.*

Case ii: Let us consider a ϕ -holomorphic conformal vector field on an $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold with $\phi X \neq 0$. Then in view of (3.3), we get after simplification

$$R(U, V)X = (Ul)V - (Vl)U + (Um)\phi V - (Vm)\phi U + m[(\nabla_U \phi)V - (\nabla_V \phi)U].$$

By virtue of (8), the above equation gives

$$R(U, V)X = (Ul)V - (Vl)U + (Um)\phi V - (Vm)\phi U + m[\omega(U)(V + hV) - \omega(V)(U + hU)]. \tag{25}$$

Replacing U by ϕU and V by ϕV in (25), we have

$$R(\phi U, \phi V)X = (\phi Ul)\phi V - (\phi Vl)\phi U - (\phi Um)V + (\phi Um)\omega(V)\rho + (\phi Vm)U - (\phi Vm)\omega(U)\rho. \tag{26}$$

Adding (25) and (26), we get

$$R(U, V)X + R(\phi U, \phi V)X = (Ul)V - (Vl)U + (Um)\phi V - (Vm)\phi U + m[\omega(U)(V + hV) - \omega(V)(U + hU)] + (\phi Ul)\phi V - (\phi Vl)\phi U - (\phi Um)V + (\phi Um)\omega(V)\rho + (\phi Vm)U - (\phi Vm)\omega(U)\rho.$$

By inner product with X , we get

$$(Ul)g(V, X) - (Vl)g(U, X) + (Um)g(\phi V, X) - (Vm)g(\phi U, X) + m[\omega(U)g(V + hV, X) - \omega(V)g(U + hU, X)] + (\phi Ul)g(\phi V, X) - (\phi Vl)g(\phi U, X) - (\phi Um)g(V, X) + (\phi Um)\omega(V)\omega(X) + (\phi Vm)g(U, X) - (\phi Vm)\omega(U)\omega(X) = 0. \tag{27}$$

Replacing U by ϕU and V by ϕV , we get from the above equation

$$(\phi Ul)g(\phi V, X) - (\phi Vl)g(\phi U, X) + (\phi Um)g(\phi^2 V, X) - (\phi Vm)g(\phi^2 U, X) + (\phi^2 Ul)g(\phi^2 V, X) - (\phi^2 Vl)g(\phi^2 U, X) - (\phi^2 Um)g(\phi V, X) + (\phi^2 Vm)g(\phi U, X) = 0.$$

Putting $\phi V = X$ in the above equation, we have

$$(\phi Ul)g(X, X) - (Xl)g(\phi U, X) - (Xm)g(\phi^2 U, X) - (\phi Xl)g(\phi^2 U, X) - (\phi^2 Um)g(X, X) + (\phi Xm)g(\phi U, X) = 0. \tag{28}$$

In (27) putting $U = \rho$, we get

$$(\rho l)g(V, X) - (Vl)\omega(X) + (\rho m)g(\phi V, X) + m[g(V + hV, X) - \omega(V)\omega(X)] = 0.$$

By the substitution $V = \phi X$ in the above equation, we infer that

$$(\rho m)g(\phi X, \phi X) - mg(\phi X, hX) = 0. \tag{29}$$

In (27) taking $V = \rho$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} (Ul)\omega(X) - (\rho l)g(U, X) - (\rho m)g(\phi U, X) \\ + m[\omega(U)\omega(X) - g(U + hU, X)] = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Using $U = \phi X$ in the above equation, we get

$$(\phi Xl)\omega(X) + (\rho m)g(\phi X, \phi X) - mg(\phi X, hX) = 0. \tag{30}$$

By virtue of (29) and (30), we get

$$(\phi Xl)\omega(X) = 0.$$

If X is not orthogonal to ρ , we get from the above

$$\phi Xl = 0. \tag{31}$$

Next, suppose X is orthogonal to ρ . Then putting $U = X$ in (28), we have

$$\phi Xl + Xm = 0. \tag{32}$$

Thus, we are in a position to state the following:

Lemma 3.8. *If an $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold admits a non-null ϕ -holomorphic planar conformal vector field X described by $\nabla_U X = lU + m\phi U$, then*

- (i) $\phi Xl = 0$, when X is not orthogonal to ρ
- (ii) $\phi Xl + Xm = 0$, when X is orthogonal to ρ .

Here l and m are certain smooth functions.

Suppose X is not orthogonal to ρ . So, $\phi Xl = 0$. This means

$$g(\text{grad}l, \phi X) = 0. \tag{33}$$

Since g is metric connection, we know

$$(\nabla_W g)(U, V) = 0$$

for any U and V .

Taking $U = \text{grad}l$ and $V = \phi X$, we get

$$\nabla_W g(\text{grad}l, \phi X) - g(\nabla_W \text{grad}l, \phi X) - g(\text{grad}l, \nabla_W \phi X) = 0. \tag{34}$$

By virtue of (33) and (34), we infer

$$g(\nabla_W \text{grad}l, \phi X) + g(\text{grad}l, (\nabla_W \phi)X) + g(\text{grad}l, \phi(\nabla_W X)) = 0. \tag{35}$$

Putting $W = \phi X$ and using (8) and (18), we get

$$\begin{aligned} g(\nabla_{\phi X} \text{grad}l, \phi X) + g(h\phi X, X)(\rho l) + \omega(X)\phi Xl \\ + \omega(X)h\phi Xl - \phi(l\phi X + m\phi^2 X)l = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

Suppose $\text{grad}l$ is constant. Then

$$g(\nabla_{\phi X} \text{grad}l, \phi X) = 0. \tag{37}$$

Using (37) in (36), we infer

$$g(h\phi X, X) = 0.$$

Since X is non-zero and not necessarily ρ , $h\phi X = 0$. So, $h = 0$.

From [20], it is known that if in an $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold $h = 0$, then the manifold is K -contact. So, in this case, the manifold is K -contact.

Thus, we are in a position to state the following:

Theorem 3.9. *If an $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold admits a ϕ -holomorphic planar conformal vector field X given by $\nabla_U X = lX + m\phi X$ such that $\phi X \neq 0$ and X is not orthogonal to ρ , then the manifold is K -contact, provided $\text{grad}l$ is constant.*

On the remaining case $\phi Xl + Xm = 0$ and X is orthogonal to ρ . Proceeding in the similar way, we get $h = 0$, provided $\text{grad}l + \phi \text{grad}m$ is constant.

Hence, we are in a position to state the following:

Theorem 3.10. *If an $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold admits a ϕ -holomorphic planar conformal vector field X given by $\nabla_U X = lX + m\phi X$ such that $\phi X \neq 0$ and X is orthogonal to ρ , then the manifold is K -contact, provided $\text{grad}l + \phi \text{grad}m$ is constant.*

4. $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold admitting Ricci biconformal vector fields

In [1], the authors have defined biconformal vector fields using two (0,2) tensor fields. They also have given the geometric importance of the study of such vector fields on a Riemannian manifold. Here, we define Ricci biconformal vector fields by taking the metric tensor field g and the Ricci tensor field S as the two (0,2) tensor fields. Let us introduce the following:

Definition 4.1. *A vector field X on a Riemannian manifold will be called Ricci biconformal vector field if it satisfies the following equations*

$$(\mathcal{L}_X g)(U, V) = \alpha g(U, V) + \beta S(U, V) \tag{38}$$

and

$$(\mathcal{L}_X S)(U, V) = \alpha S(U, V) + \beta g(U, V) \tag{39}$$

for arbitrary non-zero smooth functions α and β .

Let us consider a Riemannian manifold with Ricci biconformal vector field.

Replacing U by QU in (38), and subtracting it from (4.2) we get

$$g(\mathcal{L}_X QU, V) + g(QU, \mathcal{L}_X V) - S(\mathcal{L}_X U, V) - S(U, \mathcal{L}_X V) = \beta g(U - Q^2U, V). \tag{40}$$

Putting $V = U$ in the above equation, we get

$$U - Q^2U = (\mathcal{L}_X Q)U. \tag{41}$$

Thus, we are in a position to state the following:

Lemma 4.2. *Let X be a biconformal vector field in a Riemannian manifold. Then the operator \mathcal{L}_X annihilates the Ricci operator Q if and only if the square of the Ricci operator is identity operator.*

Let us consider a three-dimensional $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold. Then in view of (14) and (15)

$$(\mathcal{L}_X Q)\rho = (3k - \frac{r}{2})\mathcal{L}_X \rho. \tag{42}$$

In view of (41) and (42), we conclude the following:

Lemma 4.3. *If a three-dimensional $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold admits a Ricci biconformal vector field X then $Q^2\rho = \rho$ if and only if $\mathcal{L}_X \rho = 0$ or $r = 6k$, where r is the scalar curvature of the manifold and Q is the Ricci operator.*

Suppose $\mathcal{L}_X \rho = 0$ or $r = 6k$. Then by virtue of (2.15), $(4k^2 - 1)\rho = 0$. Which yields $k = \pm \frac{1}{2}$. The above situation leads us to state the following:

Theorem 4.4. *If a three-dimensional $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold admits Ricci biconformal vector field X such that $\mathcal{L}_X \rho = 0$ or $r = 6k$, then $k = \pm \frac{1}{2}$, where r is the scalar curvature of the manifold.*

Since every three-dimensional K -contact manifold is Sasakian, as direct consequences of the above theorem, we note the following:

Corollary 4.5. *If a three-dimensional $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold admits a Ricci biconformal vector field X such that $\mathcal{L}_X \rho = 0$ or $r = 6k$, then it is not K -contact and hence not Sasakian, where r is the scalar curvature of the manifold.*

Corollary 4.6. *No three-dimensional Sasakian manifold admits Ricci biconformal vector field X such that $\mathcal{L}_X \rho = 0$ or $r = 6k$, where r is the scalar curvature of the manifold.*

5. Conformal Ricci almost soliton

Definition 5.1. The metric of an $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold will be called conformal Ricci almost soliton [10] if it satisfies

$$(\mathcal{L}_X g)(U, V) + 2S(U, V) = [2\lambda - (p + \frac{2}{2n+1})]g(U, V), \tag{43}$$

where λ and p are smooth functions called soliton functions.

Let us consider an $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold admits conformal Ricci almost soliton. In view of (10) after a straight forward computation, we get the following:

Lemma 5.2. In an $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold, the following holds:

$$\begin{aligned} & (\nabla_U S)(V, W) + (\nabla_V S)(W, U) - (\nabla_W S)(U, V) \\ = & 2(n-1)[g(\nabla_U h)V, W] + g(\nabla_V h)W, U - g(\nabla_W h)U, V \\ & + 2[2nk - 2(n-1)][g(V, \phi W)\omega(U) + g(hU, \phi V)\omega(W) \\ & + g(U, \phi W)\omega(V)]. \end{aligned} \tag{44}$$

Differentiating (43) with respect to W , we get

$$(\nabla_W \mathcal{L}_X g)(U, V) = [2d\lambda(W) - dp(W)]g(U, V) - 2(\nabla_W S)(U, V). \tag{45}$$

By a well known computation formula

$$\begin{aligned} g((\mathcal{L}_X \nabla)(U, V), W) &= \frac{1}{2}(\nabla_U \mathcal{L}_X g)(V, W) + \frac{1}{2}(\nabla_V \mathcal{L}_X g)(U, W) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2}(\nabla_W \mathcal{L}_X g)(U, V). \end{aligned}$$

Using (45) in the above equation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} g((\mathcal{L}_X \nabla)(U, V), W) &= d\lambda(U)g(V, W) + d\lambda(V)g(U, W) - d\lambda(W)g(U, V) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}[dp(U)g(V, W) + dp(V)g(U, W) - dp(W)g(U, V)] \\ &\quad - [(\nabla_U S)(V, W) + (\nabla_V S)(U, W) - (\nabla_W S)(U, V)]. \end{aligned} \tag{46}$$

Using Lemma 5.1 in the above equation, we get

$$\begin{aligned} g((\mathcal{L}_X \nabla)(U, V), W) &= d\lambda(U)g(V, W) + d\lambda(V)g(U, W) - d\lambda(W)g(U, V) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}[dp(U)g(V, W) + dp(V)g(U, W) - dp(W)g(U, V)] \\ &\quad - 2(n-1)[g(\nabla_U h)V, W] + g(\nabla_V h)W, U - g(\nabla_W h)U, V \\ &\quad - 2[2nk - 2(n-1)][g(V, \phi W)\omega(U) + g(hU, \phi V)\omega(W) \\ &\quad + g(U, \phi W)\omega(V)]. \end{aligned} \tag{47}$$

Putting $V = \rho$ and $n = 1$ in the above equation, we get for a three-dimensional $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold

$$\begin{aligned} g((\mathcal{L}_X \nabla)(U, \rho), W) &= d\lambda(U)\omega(W) + d\lambda(\rho)g(U, W) - d\lambda(W)\omega(U) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}[dp(U)\omega(W) + dp(\rho)g(U, W) - dp(W)\omega(U)] \\ &\quad - 4kg(U, \phi W). \end{aligned} \tag{48}$$

Putting $W = U$ in the above equation, after a straight forward computation, we get

$$g((\mathcal{L}_X \nabla)(U, \rho), U) = g(\text{grad}\lambda + \frac{\text{grad}p}{2}, \rho)g(U, U). \tag{49}$$

If $\text{grad}\lambda + \frac{\text{grad}p}{2}$ is orthogonal to ρ , then we have

$$(\mathcal{L}_X \nabla)(U, \rho) = 0. \tag{50}$$

Differentiating (50), we get

$$\nabla_V(\mathcal{L}_X\nabla)(U, \rho) = 0.$$

The above equation implies

$$(\nabla_V\mathcal{L}_X\nabla)(U, \rho) + (\mathcal{L}_X\nabla)(\nabla_VU, \rho) + (\mathcal{L}_X\nabla)(U, \nabla_V\rho) = 0. \tag{51}$$

Using (5) in the above equation, we get

$$(\nabla_V\mathcal{L}_X\nabla)(U, \rho) = (\mathcal{L}_X\nabla)(U, \phi V) + (\mathcal{L}_X\nabla)(U, \phi hV). \tag{52}$$

Now, we state the following:

Lemma 5.3. *If a three-dimensional $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold admits Ricci almost soliton and $\text{grad}\lambda + \frac{\text{grad}p}{2}$ is orthogonal to ρ , then*

$$(\nabla_V\mathcal{L}_X\nabla)(U, \rho) = (\mathcal{L}_X\nabla)(U, \phi V) + (\mathcal{L}_X\nabla)(U, \phi hV).$$

By a well known computation, we get

$$(\mathcal{L}_XR)(U, V)W = (\nabla_U\mathcal{L}_X\nabla)(V, W) - (\nabla_V\mathcal{L}_X\nabla)(U, W).$$

Putting $V = W = \rho$ and using Lemma 5.2, we get

$$(\mathcal{L}_XR)(U, \rho)\rho = 0. \tag{53}$$

On the other hand by direct computation from (11), we get

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{L}_XR)(U, \rho)\rho &= \mathcal{L}_X(k[U - \omega(U)]\rho) - k[\mathcal{L}_XU - \omega(\mathcal{L}_XU)\rho] \\ &\quad - k[\omega(\mathcal{L}_X\rho)U - \omega(U)\mathcal{L}_X\rho] - R(U, \rho)\mathcal{L}_X\rho. \end{aligned} \tag{54}$$

Comparing (53) and (54), we get

$$k(\mathcal{L}_X\omega(U))\rho + k(\omega(\mathcal{L}_X\rho)U - \omega(U)\mathcal{L}_X\rho) - R(U, \rho)\mathcal{L}_X\rho = 0.$$

By inner product and using (11), we get

$$k(\mathcal{L}_X\omega)U - kg(U - \omega(U)\rho, \mathcal{L}_X\rho) = 0.$$

For $U = \rho$, the above equation gives $k = 0$.

It is known that [20] a three-dimensional $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold with $k = 0$ is flat. Thus we are in a position to state the following:

Theorem 5.4. *If a three-dimensional $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold admits Ricci almost soliton with soliton functions λ and p such that $\text{grad}\lambda + \frac{\text{grad}p}{2}$ is orthogonal to ρ , then the manifold is flat.*

6. Example

Example of a three-dimensional $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold has been given in the paper of Sharma [20]. For the purpose of illustration, we use the example here.

Let $M = \{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3, (x, y, z) \neq (0, 0, 0)\}$, where (x, y, z) are the standard coordinates of \mathbb{R}^3 . Let us consider three linearly independent vector fields e_1, e_2, e_3 such that

$$[e_1, e_2] = (1 + a)e_3, \quad [e_2, e_3] = 2e_1 \quad \text{and} \quad [e_3, e_1] = (1 - a)e_2,$$

where $a = \pm\sqrt{1 - k}$, $a = \text{constant}$.

Let g be the Riemannian metric defined by

$$g(e_1, e_1) = g(e_2, e_2) = g(e_3, e_3) = 1$$

and

$$g(e_1, e_3) = g(e_2, e_3) = g(e_1, e_2) = 0.$$

Let ω be a 1-form defined by $\omega(Z) = g(Z, e_1)$ for any vector field Z on M . Let ϕ be (1,1) tensor field defined by

$$\phi e_1 = 0, \quad \phi e_2 = e_3, \quad \phi e_3 = -e_2.$$

Then M is an $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold [20] for $k = (1 - a^2)$. Using Koszul's formula we have

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{e_1} e_1 &= 0, \quad \nabla_{e_1} e_2 = 0, \quad \nabla_{e_1} e_3 = 0, \\ \nabla_{e_2} e_1 &= -(1+a)e_3, \quad \nabla_{e_2} e_2 = 0, \quad \nabla_{e_2} e_3 = (1+a)e_1, \\ \nabla_{e_3} e_1 &= (1-a)e_2, \quad \nabla_{e_3} e_2 = -(1-a)e_1, \quad \nabla_{e_3} e_3 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

We can also calculate the components of the Ricci tensor as

$$S(e_1, e_1) = 2(1 - a^2), \quad S(e_2, e_2) = 0, \quad S(e_3, e_3) = 0. \tag{55}$$

If we take $a = 0$, we note that $X = e_1$ is a ϕ -holomorphic planar conformal vector field for $l = 0$ and $m = -1$ such that $\phi e_1 = 0$. Hence the example fits for Lemma 3.1. Then for $a = 0$, $k = 1$ and the manifold is K -contact and hence Sasakian. This agrees with Theorem 3.1. The vector fields e_2 and e_3 are not ϕ -holomorphic planar conformal.

For $a = 0$, the vector field e_2 is not Ricci biconformal. We see that if e_2 would Ricci biconformal, then

$$(\mathcal{L}_{e_2} g)(e_1, e_1) = \alpha g(e_1, e_1) + \beta S(e_1, e_1)$$

and

$$(\mathcal{L}_{e_2} S)(e_1, e_1) = \alpha S(e_1, e_1) + \beta g(e_1, e_1)$$

gives $\alpha = 0 = \beta$ but

$$(\mathcal{L}_{e_2} g)(e_1, e_3) = \alpha g(e_1, e_3) + \beta S(e_1, e_3)$$

gives $\alpha = 1$. So e_2 is not Ricci biconformal. Similarly e_3 and e_1 are not Ricci biconformal. This validates Corollary 4.1 and Corollary 4.2.

For $a = \pm 1$, the manifold is conformal Ricci almost soliton for $\lambda = \frac{p}{2} + \frac{2}{3} - a^2$. In that case $r = 0$ and the manifold is flat. This argues that Theorem 5.1 is authentic.

References

- [1] G. P. Alfonso, M. M. S. Jose, Bi-conformal vector fields and their applications, arXiv:math-ph/0311014v2.
- [2] C. Baikoussis, D. E. Blair, T. Koufogiorgos, A decomposition of the curvature tensor of a contact manifold satisfying $R(X, Y)\xi = k(\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y)$, Math. Technical Reports, University of Ioannina, Greece, 1992.
- [3] N. Basu, A. Bhattacharyya, Conformal Ricci soliton in Kenmotsu manifold, Global J. Adv. Res. on Class. Mod. Geom., 4 (2015) 15-21.
- [4] D. E. Blair, Riemannian geometry of contact and symplectic manifolds, Progress in Math., 203, Birkhauser, 2010.
- [5] D. E. Blair, T. Koufogiorgos, B. J. Papantoniou, Contact metric manifolds satisfying a nullity condition, Israel J. Math., 91 (1995) 189-214.
- [6] H. D. Cao, B. Chow, Recent developments on the Ricci flow, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 36 (1999) 59-74.
- [7] U. C. De, Certain results on $N(k)$ -contact metric manifolds, Tamkang J. Math., 49 (2018) 205-220.
- [8] U. C. De, A. Yildiz, S. Ghosh, On a class of $N(k)$ -contact metric manifolds, Math. Reports, 16 (2004) 207-217.
- [9] S. Deshmukh, Geometry of conformal vector fields, Arab J. Math. Sci, 23 (2017) 44-73.
- [10] A. E. Fischer, An introduction to conformal Ricci flow, Class. Quantum Grav., 21 (2004) 171-218.
- [11] A. Ghosh, Holomorphically planar conformal vector fields on contact metric manifolds, Acta Math. Hungarica, 129 (2010) 357-367.

- [12] R. S. Hamilton, The Ricci flow on surfaces, Mathematics and general relativity (Santa Cruz, CA, 1986, 237-262.) Contemp. Math. 71, American Math. Soc., 1988.
- [13] J. B. Jun, U. C. De, On $N(k)$ -contact metric manifolds satisfying some curvature conditions, Kyungponk Math. J., 34 (2011) 457-468.
- [14] H. G. Nagaraja, K. Venu, f -Kenmotsu metric as conformal Ricci soliton, Ann. Univ. Vest. Timisoara. Ser. Math. Inform., LV (2017) 119-127.
- [15] M. Okumura, Some remarks on space with certain contact structures, Tohoku Math. J., 14 (1962) 135-145.
- [16] M. Okumura, On infinitesimal conformal and projective transformations of normal contact spaces, Tohoku Math. J., 14 (1962),398-412.
- [17] C. Ozgur, S. Sular, On $N(k)$ -contact metric manifolds satisfying certain conditions, SUT J. Math., 44 (2008) 89-99.
- [18] G. Perelman, The entropy formula for the Ricci flow and its geometric applications, arXiv:math/0211159v1.
- [19] S. Pigola, M. Rigoli, M., Rimoldi, A. G. Setti, Ricci almost solitons, Ann. Sc. Norm. Super Pisa Cl. Sci., 10 (2011) 757-799.
- [20] R. Sharma, D. E. Blair, Conformal motion of contact manifolds with characteristic vector field in the k -nullity distribution, Illinois J. Math., 40 (1996) 553-563.
- [21] R. Sharma, Holomorphically planar conformal vector fields on almost Hermitian manifolds, Contemp. Math., 337 (2003) 145-154.
- [22] R. Sharma, L. Vrancken, Conformal classification of (k, μ) -Contact manifolds, Kodai Math. J., 33 (2010) 267-282.
- [23] R. Sharma, Certain results on K -contact and (k, μ) -contact metric manifolds, J. Geom., 89 (2008) 138-147.
- [24] R. Sharma, Conformal and projective characterizations of an odd dimensional unit sphere , Kodai Math. J., 42 (2019) 160-169.
- [25] S. Tanno, Some transformations on manifolds with almost contact and contact metric structures, Tohoku Math. J., 15 (1963) 140-147.
- [26] K. Yano, Integral formulas in Riemannian geometry, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1970.

Please cite this article using:

Uday Chand De, Arpan Sardar, Avijit Sarkar, Some conformal vector fields and conformal Ricci solitons on $N(k)$ -contact metric manifolds, AUT J. Math. Com., 2(1) (2021) 61-71
DOI: 10.22060/ajmc.2021.19220.1043

