



## Some conformal vector fields and conformal Ricci solitons on $N(k)$ -contact metric manifolds

Uday Chand De<sup>a</sup>, Arpan Sardar<sup>b</sup>, Avijit Sarkar<sup>\*c</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Pure Mathematics, University of Calcutta, 35, Ballygunge Circular Road, Kolkata 700019, West Bengal, India

<sup>b</sup>Department of Mathematics, University of Kalyani, Kalyani 741235, Nadia, West Bengal, India

<sup>c</sup>Department of Mathematics, University of Kalyani, Kalyani 741235, Nadia, West Bengal, India

**ABSTRACT:** The target of this paper is to study  $N(k)$ -contact metric manifolds with some types of conformal vector fields like  $\phi$ -holomorphic planar conformal vector fields and Ricci biconformal vector fields. We also characterize  $N(k)$ -contact metric manifolds allowing conformal Ricci almost soliton. Obtained results are supported by examples.

### Review History:

Received:05 November 2020

Revised:24 January 2021

Accepted:27 January 2021

Available Online:01 February 2021

### Keywords:

$N(k)$ -Contact metric manifolds

$\phi$ -Holomorphic planar conformal vector fields

Conformal vector fields

Conformal Ricci solitons

### AMS Subject classifications:

53C15, 53C25

## 1. Introduction

Some intrinsic properties of contact metric manifolds can be explained beautifully by the existence of conformal vector fields on a contact metric manifold. For example, it is known that [26] if an  $m$  dimensional Riemannian manifold admits a maximal, i.e.,  $\frac{(m+1)(m+2)}{2}$ -parameter group of conformal motions, then it is conformally flat. It is also known that [15] a conformally flat Sasakian manifold is of constant curvature 1. Again, it is to be noted that a complete and connected Sasakian manifold of dimension greater than three is isometric to sphere if it admits a conformal motion. This result was determined by Okumura in 1962 [16]. Later study of contact manifolds admitting conformal motions was extended to  $N(k)$ -contact metric manifolds by Sharma [20]. Sharma also introduced the notion of holomorphic planar conformal vector fields in Hermitian manifolds [21]. Conformal vector fields are alternatively known as conformal motions or conformal transformations in differential geometry of contact manifolds ([22], [23], [24]). Planar conformal vector fields has also been studied in ([11], [21]).

We say that a vector field  $V$  on a contact manifold  $M$  is an infinitesimal contact transformation if  $\mathcal{L}_V\omega = f\omega$  for a function  $f$ , where  $\mathcal{L}$  denote the Lie derivative operator and  $\omega$  the contact form of the manifold. We also say

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: uc\_de@yahoo.com, arpansardar51@gmail.com, avjaj@yahoo.co.in

that a vector field  $V$  on  $M$  is an automorphism of the contact metric structure if  $V$  leaves all the structure tensors of the manifold invariant [25].

In 1992, Baikoussis, Blair and Koufogiorgos [2] introduced a new type of contact metric manifolds known as  $N(k)$ -contact metric manifolds.  $N(k)$ -contact metric manifolds are such manifolds whose characteristic vector field  $\rho$  belongs to  $k$ -nullity distribution. Further properties of  $N(k)$ -contact manifolds were determined by Blair et al. [5]. More characterizations of  $N(k)$ -contact metric manifolds can be found in the papers ([7],[8],[13],[17]).

In the present paper, we would like to study  $N(k)$ -contact metric manifolds admitting planar conformal vector fields.

The notion of biconformal vector fields has been introduced in [1]. In that paper, the authors have also given the geometric interpretation of such vector fields. In the present paper we have introduced a new notion namely Ricci biconformal vector fields.

The theory of Ricci flow was developed by Hamilton [12] and it was applied by Perelman [18] to prove the century long well known open problem Poincare conjecture. After these works a major thrust has been seen in the study of Ricci flow. A Ricci soliton is a fixed solution of Hamilton's Ricci flow equation up to diffeomorphism and scaling. Pigola et al. [19] generalized Ricci soliton to almost Ricci soliton. The notion of conformal Ricci solitons can be found in the papers ([3], [10],[14]). For details about Ricci flow we refer [6].

The present paper is organized as follows:

After the introduction in Section 1, we recall required preliminaries in Section 2. Section 3 contains the study of  $\phi$ -holomorphic planar conformal vector fields on  $N(k)$ -contact metric manifolds. In Section 4, we introduce the definition of Ricci biconformal vector fields and study them on  $N(k)$ -contact metric manifolds. Section 5 is devoted to study conformal Ricci almost soliton on  $N(k)$ -contact metric manifolds. Finally, we give an example of a three-dimensional  $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold to verify some results.

## 2. Preliminaries

An odd dimensional differentiable manifold  $M$  is called an almost contact manifold [4] if it satisfies

$$\phi^2 U = -U + \omega(U)\rho, \quad \omega(\rho) = 1, \tag{1}$$

where  $\phi$  is a (1,1) tensor field,  $\rho$  is a unit vector field,  $\omega$  is a 1-form and  $U$  is a smooth vector field on the manifold. Such a manifold is called almost contact metric manifold if there exists a Riemannian metric  $g$  such that

$$g(\phi U, \phi V) = g(U, V) - \omega(U)\omega(V) \tag{2}$$

for any smooth vector field  $U, V$  on  $M$ .

An almost contact metric manifold is called contact metric manifold when

$$d\omega(U, V) = g(U, \phi V) \tag{3}$$

is satisfied.

An almost contact metric structure is said to be normal if the induced almost complex structure  $J$  on the product manifold  $M \times \mathbb{R}$  defined by

$$J(U, f \frac{d}{dt}) = (\phi U - f\rho, \omega(U) \frac{d}{dt})$$

is integrable, where  $t$  is the coordinate of  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $f$  is a smooth function on  $M \times \mathbb{R}$ .

A normal contact metric manifold is called Sasakian manifold. If the characteristic vector field  $\rho$  is Killing, the manifold is known as  $K$ -contact manifold. Every Sasakian manifold is  $K$ -contact but the converse is true only for three-dimension.

It is familiar that the tangent sphere bundle of a flat Riemannian manifold allows a contact metric structure satisfying  $R(U, V)\rho = 0$ . On the other hand for Sasakian case

$$R(U, V)\rho = \omega(V)U - \omega(U)V,$$

where  $R$  is the Riemann curvature of the manifold. As a generalization of the above cases, Baikoussis et al. [2] introduced the notion of contact manifolds with  $\rho$  belonging to  $k$ -nullity distribution. Contact manifolds with  $\rho$  belonging to  $k$ -nullity distribution is known as  $N(k)$ -contact metric manifolds.

On a contact metric manifold, the (1,1)-tensor field  $h$  is defined as  $h = \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{L}_\rho\phi$ , where  $\mathcal{L}$  denotes the Lie-derivative. The tensor field  $h$  satisfies

$$h\phi = -\phi h, \quad \text{trace}(h) = \text{trace}(\phi h) = 0, \quad h\rho = 0. \tag{4}$$

Also on a contact metric manifold, we know

$$\nabla_U \rho = -\phi U - \phi hU, \tag{5}$$

where  $\nabla$  is the Levi-Civita connection on  $M$ .

The  $k$ -nullity distribution on a Riemannian manifold is given by

$$N(k) = \{W \in TM : R(U, V)W = k[g(V, W)U - g(U, W)V]\},$$

$k$  being a real number and  $TM$  is the set of all vector fields on  $M$ .

For an  $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold, we know

$$h^2 = (k - 1)\phi^2, \tag{6}$$

$$(\nabla_U \omega)V = g(U + hU, \phi V), \tag{7}$$

$$(\nabla_U \phi)V = g(U + hU, V)\rho - \omega(V)(U + hU), \tag{8}$$

$$S(U, \rho) = 2nk\omega(U). \tag{9}$$

Again on a  $(2n + 1)$ -dimensional  $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold the Ricci tensor  $S$  and the Riemannian curvature  $R$  are given by

$$\begin{aligned} S(U, V) &= 2(n - 1)g(U, V) + 2(n - 1)g(hU, V) \\ &\quad + [2nk - 2(n - 1)]\omega(U)\omega(V), \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

$$R(U, V)\rho = k[\omega(V)U - \omega(U)V]. \tag{11}$$

In a three-dimensional Riemannian manifold, we have

$$\begin{aligned} R(U, V)W &= g(V, W)QU - g(U, W)QV + S(V, W)U - S(U, W)V \\ &\quad - \frac{r}{2}[g(V, W)U - g(U, W)V], \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

where  $Q$  is the Ricci operator and  $r$  is the scalar curvature of the manifold. Putting  $W = \rho$  in (12) and using (9) and (11), we get

$$\begin{aligned} k[\omega(V)U - \omega(U)V] &= \omega(V)QU - \omega(U)QV \\ &\quad + (2k - \frac{r}{2})[\omega(V)U - \omega(U)V]. \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

Replacing  $V$  by  $\rho$  in the above equation, we get

$$QU = [\frac{r}{2} - k]U + [3k - \frac{r}{2}]\omega(U)\rho. \tag{14}$$

From above it also follows that

$$Q\rho = 2k\rho. \tag{15}$$

### 3. $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold with $\phi$ -holomorphic planar conformal vector fields

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $(M, g)$  be a  $(2n + 1)$ -dimensional  $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold. A smooth vector field  $X$  of  $M$  is called a conformal vector field or conformal motion if

$$\mathcal{L}_X g = 2fg \tag{16}$$

for a smooth function  $f$  on  $M$ . In view of Koszul's formula, a vector field  $X$  on  $M$  is called conformal if

$$\nabla_U X = fU + \phi U \tag{17}$$

for a smooth function  $f$  on  $M$ .

Suppose the characteristic vector field  $\rho$  of an  $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold is conformal. Then in view of (5) and (17)

$$fU + \phi U = -\phi U - \phi hU.$$

For  $U = \rho$  the above equation gives  $f = 0$ . Hence  $\mathcal{L}_\rho g = 0$ . Consequently,  $\rho$  is Killing. Thus, we get

**Proposition 3.2.** *If the characteristic vector field  $\rho$  of an  $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold is conformal, then the manifold is  $K$ -contact.*

**Remark 3.3.** *In [20],  $N(k)$ -contact metric manifolds admitting conformal vector fields was characterized for dimension greater than three and it was proved that if an  $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold of dimension greater than three admits a conformal vector field  $X$ , then the manifold is either Sasakian or  $X$  is Killing. If, in particular,  $X = \rho$  then by Proposition 3.1, the result is true for any dimension.*

Since any three-dimensional  $K$ -contact manifold is Sasakian, by the above discussion and Proposition 3.1, we can state the following:

**Corollary 3.4.** *If the characteristic vector field  $\rho$  of an  $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold is conformal, then the manifold is either Sasakian or  $K$ -contact.*

**Definition 3.5.** *A vector field  $X$  on an  $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold will be called  $\phi$ -holomorphic planar conformal vector field if [21]*

$$\nabla_U X = lU + m\phi U \tag{18}$$

for two smooth functions  $l$  and  $m$  on  $M$  and any smooth vector field  $U$  on  $M$ .

If  $m = 1$ ,  $X$  becomes conformal.

Suppose an  $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold admits a  $\phi$ -holomorphic planar conformal vector field  $X$ . Now we shall discuss the following two cases:

- (i)  $\phi X = 0$
- (ii)  $\phi X \neq 0$ .

**Case i:** Suppose an  $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold admits a conformal vector field  $X$  such that  $\phi X = 0$ . Then we have

$$X = \omega(X)\rho. \tag{19}$$

Differentiating the above equation with respect to  $U$  and using (5),(7) and (18), we get

$$lU + m\phi U = g(U + hU, \phi X)\rho - \omega(X)(\phi U + \phi hU).$$

Since  $\phi X = 0$ , the above equation takes the form

$$lU + m\phi U = -\omega(X)(\phi U + \phi hU). \tag{20}$$

By inner product with  $hU$ , we get from above

$$lg(U, hU) + mg(\phi U, hU) = -\omega(X)g(\phi U, hU). \tag{21}$$

Taking inner product in both sides of (20) with  $U$ , we have

$$lg(U, U) = -\omega(X)g(\phi hU, U). \tag{22}$$

From (19), we observe that  $\omega(X)$  is non-zero. So From the above equation, we note that

$$g(hU, \phi U) = \frac{l}{\omega(X)}g(U, U). \tag{23}$$

Using (23) in (21), we see that

$$lg(U, hU) + \frac{ml}{\omega(X)}g(U, U) + lg(U, U) = 0. \tag{24}$$

From (24), we infer that  $l = 0$ . If not, from (24) , we observe that

$$hU = -\left(\frac{m}{\omega(X)} + 1\right)U.$$

Having taken inner product with  $\phi U$ , we conclude from above  $g(hU, \phi U) = 0$ . Hence, by (22),  $g(U, U) = 0$ , a contradiction. Thus we obtain the following:

**Lemma 3.6.** *If an  $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold admits a  $\phi$ -holomorphic planar conformal vector field  $X$  given by  $\nabla_U X = lU + m\phi U$  such that  $\phi X = 0$ , then  $l = 0$ .*

In view of (23)

$$g(\phi hU, U) = -\frac{l}{\omega(X)}g(U, U).$$

The above equation gives  $\phi hU = -\frac{l}{\omega(X)}U$ . Thus, by the above lemma,  $\phi hU = 0$ . Consequently,  $hU = 0$ , where  $U$  is arbitrary. Hence  $h = 0$ . It is known that [20], if in an  $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold  $h = 0$ , then the manifold is  $K$ -contact.

Thus, we can state the following:

**Theorem 3.7.** *If an  $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold admits a  $\phi$ -holomorphic planar conformal vector field  $X$  such that  $\phi X = 0$ , then the manifold is  $K$ -contact.*

**Case ii:** Let us consider a  $\phi$ -holomorphic conformal vector field on an  $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold with  $\phi X \neq 0$ . Then in view of (3.3), we get after simplification

$$R(U, V)X = (Ul)V - (Vl)U + (Um)\phi V - (Vm)\phi U + m[(\nabla_U \phi)V - (\nabla_V \phi)U].$$

By virtue of (8), the above equation gives

$$R(U, V)X = (Ul)V - (Vl)U + (Um)\phi V - (Vm)\phi U + m[\omega(U)(V + hV) - \omega(V)(U + hU)]. \tag{25}$$

Replacing  $U$  by  $\phi U$  and  $V$  by  $\phi V$  in (25), we have

$$R(\phi U, \phi V)X = (\phi Ul)\phi V - (\phi Vl)\phi U - (\phi Um)V + (\phi Um)\omega(V)\rho + (\phi Vm)U - (\phi Vm)\omega(U)\rho. \tag{26}$$

Adding (25) and (26), we get

$$R(U, V)X + R(\phi U, \phi V)X = (Ul)V - (Vl)U + (Um)\phi V - (Vm)\phi U + m[\omega(U)(V + hV) - \omega(V)(U + hU)] + (\phi Ul)\phi V - (\phi Vl)\phi U - (\phi Um)V + (\phi Um)\omega(V)\rho + (\phi Vm)U - (\phi Vm)\omega(U)\rho.$$

By inner product with  $X$ , we get

$$(Ul)g(V, X) - (Vl)g(U, X) + (Um)g(\phi V, X) - (Vm)g(\phi U, X) + m[\omega(U)g(V + hV, X) - \omega(V)g(U + hU, X)] + (\phi Ul)g(\phi V, X) - (\phi Vl)g(\phi U, X) - (\phi Um)g(V, X) + (\phi Um)\omega(V)\omega(X) + (\phi Vm)g(U, X) - (\phi Vm)\omega(U)\omega(X) = 0. \tag{27}$$

Replacing  $U$  by  $\phi U$  and  $V$  by  $\phi V$ , we get from the above equation

$$(\phi Ul)g(\phi V, X) - (\phi Vl)g(\phi U, X) + (\phi Um)g(\phi^2 V, X) - (\phi Vm)g(\phi^2 U, X) + (\phi^2 Ul)g(\phi^2 V, X) - (\phi^2 Vl)g(\phi^2 U, X) - (\phi^2 Um)g(\phi V, X) + (\phi^2 Vm)g(\phi U, X) = 0.$$

Putting  $\phi V = X$  in the above equation, we have

$$(\phi Ul)g(X, X) - (Xl)g(\phi U, X) - (Xm)g(\phi^2 U, X) - (\phi Xl)g(\phi^2 U, X) - (\phi^2 Um)g(X, X) + (\phi Xm)g(\phi U, X) = 0. \tag{28}$$

In (27) putting  $U = \rho$ , we get

$$(\rho l)g(V, X) - (Vl)\omega(X) + (\rho m)g(\phi V, X) + m[g(V + hV, X) - \omega(V)\omega(X)] = 0.$$

By the substitution  $V = \phi X$  in the above equation, we infer that

$$(\rho m)g(\phi X, \phi X) - mg(\phi X, hX) = 0. \tag{29}$$

In (27) taking  $V = \rho$ , we see that

$$\begin{aligned} (Ul)\omega(X) - (\rho l)g(U, X) - (\rho m)g(\phi U, X) \\ + m[\omega(U)\omega(X) - g(U + hU, X)] = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Using  $U = \phi X$  in the above equation, we get

$$(\phi Xl)\omega(X) + (\rho m)g(\phi X, \phi X) - mg(\phi X, hX) = 0. \tag{30}$$

By virtue of (29) and (30), we get

$$(\phi Xl)\omega(X) = 0.$$

If  $X$  is not orthogonal to  $\rho$ , we get from the above

$$\phi Xl = 0. \tag{31}$$

Next, suppose  $X$  is orthogonal to  $\rho$ . Then putting  $U = X$  in (28), we have

$$\phi Xl + Xm = 0. \tag{32}$$

Thus, we are in a position to state the following:

**Lemma 3.8.** *If an  $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold admits a non-null  $\phi$ -holomorphic planar conformal vector field  $X$  described by  $\nabla_U X = lU + m\phi U$ , then*

- (i)  $\phi Xl = 0$ , when  $X$  is not orthogonal to  $\rho$
- (ii)  $\phi Xl + Xm = 0$ , when  $X$  is orthogonal to  $\rho$ .

Here  $l$  and  $m$  are certain smooth functions.

Suppose  $X$  is not orthogonal to  $\rho$ . So,  $\phi Xl = 0$ . This means

$$g(\text{grad}l, \phi X) = 0. \tag{33}$$

Since  $g$  is metric connection, we know

$$(\nabla_W g)(U, V) = 0$$

for any  $U$  and  $V$ .

Taking  $U = \text{grad}l$  and  $V = \phi X$ , we get

$$\nabla_W g(\text{grad}l, \phi X) - g(\nabla_W \text{grad}l, \phi X) - g(\text{grad}l, \nabla_W \phi X) = 0. \tag{34}$$

By virtue of (33) and (34), we infer

$$g(\nabla_W \text{grad}l, \phi X) + g(\text{grad}l, (\nabla_W \phi)X) + g(\text{grad}l, \phi(\nabla_W X)) = 0. \tag{35}$$

Putting  $W = \phi X$  and using (8) and (18), we get

$$\begin{aligned} g(\nabla_{\phi X} \text{grad}l, \phi X) + g(h\phi X, X)(\rho l) + \omega(X)\phi Xl \\ + \omega(X)h\phi Xl - \phi(l\phi X + m\phi^2 X)l = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

Suppose  $\text{grad}l$  is constant. Then

$$g(\nabla_{\phi X} \text{grad}l, \phi X) = 0. \tag{37}$$

Using (37) in (36), we infer

$$g(h\phi X, X) = 0.$$

Since  $X$  is non-zero and not necessarily  $\rho$ ,  $h\phi X = 0$ . So,  $h = 0$ .

From [20], it is known that if in an  $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold  $h = 0$ , then the manifold is  $K$ -contact. So, in this case, the manifold is  $K$ -contact.

Thus, we are in a position to state the following:

**Theorem 3.9.** *If an  $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold admits a  $\phi$ -holomorphic planar conformal vector field  $X$  given by  $\nabla_U X = lX + m\phi X$  such that  $\phi X \neq 0$  and  $X$  is not orthogonal to  $\rho$ , then the manifold is  $K$ -contact, provided  $\text{grad}l$  is constant.*

On the remaining case  $\phi Xl + Xm = 0$  and  $X$  is orthogonal to  $\rho$ . Proceeding in the similar way, we get  $h = 0$ , provided  $\text{grad}l + \phi \text{grad}m$  is constant.

Hence, we are in a position to state the following:

**Theorem 3.10.** *If an  $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold admits a  $\phi$ -holomorphic planar conformal vector field  $X$  given by  $\nabla_U X = lX + m\phi X$  such that  $\phi X \neq 0$  and  $X$  is orthogonal to  $\rho$ , then the manifold is  $K$ -contact, provided  $\text{grad}l + \phi \text{grad}m$  is constant.*

#### 4. $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold admitting Ricci biconformal vector fields

In [1], the authors have defined biconformal vector fields using two (0,2) tensor fields. They also have given the geometric importance of the study of such vector fields on a Riemannian manifold. Here, we define Ricci biconformal vector fields by taking the metric tensor field  $g$  and the Ricci tensor field  $S$  as the two (0,2) tensor fields. Let us introduce the following:

**Definition 4.1.** *A vector field  $X$  on a Riemannian manifold will be called Ricci biconformal vector field if it satisfies the following equations*

$$(\mathcal{L}_X g)(U, V) = \alpha g(U, V) + \beta S(U, V) \tag{38}$$

and

$$(\mathcal{L}_X S)(U, V) = \alpha S(U, V) + \beta g(U, V) \tag{39}$$

for arbitrary non-zero smooth functions  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ .

Let us consider a Riemannian manifold with Ricci biconformal vector field.

Replacing  $U$  by  $QU$  in (38), and subtracting it from (4.2) we get

$$g(\mathcal{L}_X QU, V) + g(QU, \mathcal{L}_X V) - S(\mathcal{L}_X U, V) - S(U, \mathcal{L}_X V) = \beta g(U - Q^2U, V). \tag{40}$$

Putting  $V = U$  in the above equation, we get

$$U - Q^2U = (\mathcal{L}_X Q)U. \tag{41}$$

Thus, we are in a position to state the following:

**Lemma 4.2.** *Let  $X$  be a biconformal vector field in a Riemannian manifold. Then the operator  $\mathcal{L}_X$  annihilates the Ricci operator  $Q$  if and only if the square of the Ricci operator is identity operator.*

Let us consider a three-dimensional  $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold. Then in view of (14) and (15)

$$(\mathcal{L}_X Q)\rho = (3k - \frac{r}{2})\mathcal{L}_X \rho. \tag{42}$$

In view of (41) and (42), we conclude the following:

**Lemma 4.3.** *If a three-dimensional  $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold admits a Ricci biconformal vector field  $X$  then  $Q^2\rho = \rho$  if and only if  $\mathcal{L}_X \rho = 0$  or  $r = 6k$ , where  $r$  is the scalar curvature of the manifold and  $Q$  is the Ricci operator.*

Suppose  $\mathcal{L}_X \rho = 0$  or  $r = 6k$ . Then by virtue of (2.15),  $(4k^2 - 1)\rho = 0$ . Which yields  $k = \pm \frac{1}{2}$ . The above situation leads us to state the following:

**Theorem 4.4.** *If a three-dimensional  $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold admits Ricci biconformal vector field  $X$  such that  $\mathcal{L}_X \rho = 0$  or  $r = 6k$ , then  $k = \pm \frac{1}{2}$ , where  $r$  is the scalar curvature of the manifold.*

Since every three-dimensional  $K$ -contact manifold is Sasakian, as direct consequences of the above theorem, we note the following:

**Corollary 4.5.** *If a three-dimensional  $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold admits a Ricci biconformal vector field  $X$  such that  $\mathcal{L}_X \rho = 0$  or  $r = 6k$ , then it is not  $K$ -contact and hence not Sasakian, where  $r$  is the scalar curvature of the manifold.*

**Corollary 4.6.** *No three-dimensional Sasakian manifold admits Ricci biconformal vector field  $X$  such that  $\mathcal{L}_X \rho = 0$  or  $r = 6k$ , where  $r$  is the scalar curvature of the manifold.*

**5. Conformal Ricci almost soliton**

**Definition 5.1.** *The metric of an  $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold will be called conformal Ricci almost soliton [10] if it satisfies*

$$(\mathcal{L}_X g)(U, V) + 2S(U, V) = [2\lambda - (p + \frac{2}{2n+1})]g(U, V), \tag{43}$$

where  $\lambda$  and  $p$  are smooth functions called soliton functions.

Let us consider an  $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold admits conformal Ricci almost soliton. In view of (10) after a straight forward computation, we get the following:

**Lemma 5.2.** *In an  $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold, the following holds:*

$$\begin{aligned} & (\nabla_U S)(V, W) + (\nabla_V S)(W, U) - (\nabla_W S)(U, V) \\ = & 2(n-1)[g(\nabla_U h)V, W] + g(\nabla_V h)W, U - g(\nabla_W h)U, V] \\ & + 2[2nk - 2(n-1)][g(V, \phi W)\omega(U) + g(hU, \phi V)\omega(W) \\ & + g(U, \phi W)\omega(V)]. \end{aligned} \tag{44}$$

Differentiating (43) with respect to  $W$ , we get

$$(\nabla_W \mathcal{L}_X g)(U, V) = [2d\lambda(W) - dp(W)]g(U, V) - 2(\nabla_W S)(U, V). \tag{45}$$

By a well known computation formula

$$\begin{aligned} g((\mathcal{L}_X \nabla)(U, V), W) = & \frac{1}{2}(\nabla_U \mathcal{L}_X g)(V, W) + \frac{1}{2}(\nabla_V \mathcal{L}_X g)(U, W) \\ & - \frac{1}{2}(\nabla_W \mathcal{L}_X g)(U, V). \end{aligned}$$

Using (45) in the above equation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} g((\mathcal{L}_X \nabla)(U, V), W) = & d\lambda(U)g(V, W) + d\lambda(V)g(U, W) - d\lambda(W)g(U, V) \\ & + \frac{1}{2}[dp(U)g(V, W) + dp(V)g(U, W) - dp(W)g(U, V)] \\ & - [(\nabla_U S)(V, W) + (\nabla_V S)(U, W) - (\nabla_W S)(U, V)]. \end{aligned} \tag{46}$$

Using Lemma 5.1 in the above equation, we get

$$\begin{aligned} g((\mathcal{L}_X \nabla)(U, V), W) = & d\lambda(U)g(V, W) + d\lambda(V)g(U, W) - d\lambda(W)g(U, V) \\ & + \frac{1}{2}[dp(U)g(V, W) + dp(V)g(U, W) - dp(W)g(U, V)] \\ & - 2(n-1)[g(\nabla_U h)V, W] + g(\nabla_V h)W, U - g(\nabla_W h)U, V] \\ & - 2[2nk - 2(n-1)][g(V, \phi W)\omega(U) + g(hU, \phi V)\omega(W) \\ & + g(U, \phi W)\omega(V)]. \end{aligned} \tag{47}$$

Putting  $V = \rho$  and  $n = 1$  in the above equation, we get for a three-dimensional  $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold

$$\begin{aligned} g((\mathcal{L}_X \nabla)(U, \rho), W) = & d\lambda(U)\omega(W) + d\lambda(\rho)g(U, W) - d\lambda(W)\omega(U) \\ & + \frac{1}{2}[dp(U)\omega(W) + dp(\rho)g(U, W) - dp(W)\omega(U)] \\ & - 4kg(U, \phi W). \end{aligned} \tag{48}$$

Putting  $W = U$  in the above equation, after a straight forward computation, we get

$$g((\mathcal{L}_X \nabla)(U, \rho), U) = g(\text{grad}\lambda + \frac{\text{grad}p}{2}, \rho)g(U, U). \tag{49}$$

If  $\text{grad}\lambda + \frac{\text{grad}p}{2}$  is orthogonal to  $\rho$ , then we have

$$(\mathcal{L}_X \nabla)(U, \rho) = 0. \tag{50}$$



Differentiating (50), we get

$$\nabla_V(\mathcal{L}_X\nabla)(U, \rho) = 0.$$

The above equation implies

$$(\nabla_V\mathcal{L}_X\nabla)(U, \rho) + (\mathcal{L}_X\nabla)(\nabla_VU, \rho) + (\mathcal{L}_X\nabla)(U, \nabla_V\rho) = 0. \tag{51}$$

Using (5) in the above equation, we get

$$(\nabla_V\mathcal{L}_X\nabla)(U, \rho) = (\mathcal{L}_X\nabla)(U, \phi V) + (\mathcal{L}_X\nabla)(U, \phi hV). \tag{52}$$

Now, we state the following:

**Lemma 5.3.** *If a three-dimensional  $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold admits Ricci almost soliton and  $\text{grad}\lambda + \frac{\text{grad}p}{2}$  is orthogonal to  $\rho$ , then*

$$(\nabla_V\mathcal{L}_X\nabla)(U, \rho) = (\mathcal{L}_X\nabla)(U, \phi V) + (\mathcal{L}_X\nabla)(U, \phi hV).$$

By a well known computation, we get

$$(\mathcal{L}_XR)(U, V)W = (\nabla_U\mathcal{L}_X\nabla)(V, W) - (\nabla_V\mathcal{L}_X\nabla)(U, W).$$

Putting  $V = W = \rho$  and using Lemma 5.2, we get

$$(\mathcal{L}_XR)(U, \rho)\rho = 0. \tag{53}$$

On the other hand by direct computation from (11), we get

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{L}_XR)(U, \rho)\rho &= \mathcal{L}_X(k[U - \omega(U)]\rho) - k[\mathcal{L}_XU - \omega(\mathcal{L}_XU)\rho] \\ &\quad - k[\omega(\mathcal{L}_X\rho)U - \omega(U)\mathcal{L}_X\rho] - R(U, \rho)\mathcal{L}_X\rho. \end{aligned} \tag{54}$$

Comparing (53) and (54), we get

$$k(\mathcal{L}_X\omega(U))\rho + k(\omega(\mathcal{L}_X\rho)U - \omega(U)\mathcal{L}_X\rho) - R(U, \rho)\mathcal{L}_X\rho = 0.$$

By inner product and using (11), we get

$$k(\mathcal{L}_X\omega)U - kg(U - \omega(U)\rho, \mathcal{L}_X\rho) = 0.$$

For  $U = \rho$ , the above equation gives  $k = 0$ .

It is known that [20] a three-dimensional  $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold with  $k = 0$  is flat. Thus we are in a position to state the following:

**Theorem 5.4.** *If a three-dimensional  $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold admits Ricci almost soliton with soliton functions  $\lambda$  and  $p$  such that  $\text{grad}\lambda + \frac{\text{grad}p}{2}$  is orthogonal to  $\rho$ , then the manifold is flat.*

## 6. Example

Example of a three-dimensional  $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold has been given in the paper of Sharma [20]. For the purpose of illustration, we use the example here.

Let  $M = \{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3, (x, y, z) \neq (0, 0, 0)\}$ , where  $(x, y, z)$  are the standard coordinates of  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . Let us consider three linearly independent vector fields  $e_1, e_2, e_3$  such that

$$[e_1, e_2] = (1 + a)e_3, \quad [e_2, e_3] = 2e_1 \quad \text{and} \quad [e_3, e_1] = (1 - a)e_2,$$

where  $a = \pm\sqrt{1 - k}$ ,  $a = \text{constant}$ .

Let  $g$  be the Riemannian metric defined by

$$g(e_1, e_1) = g(e_2, e_2) = g(e_3, e_3) = 1$$

and

$$g(e_1, e_3) = g(e_2, e_3) = g(e_1, e_2) = 0.$$

Let  $\omega$  be a 1-form defined by  $\omega(Z) = g(Z, e_1)$  for any vector field  $Z$  on  $M$ . Let  $\phi$  be (1,1) tensor field defined by

$$\phi e_1 = 0, \quad \phi e_2 = e_3, \quad \phi e_3 = -e_2.$$

Then  $M$  is an  $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold [20] for  $k = (1 - a^2)$ . Using Koszul's formula we have

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{e_1} e_1 &= 0, & \nabla_{e_1} e_2 &= 0, & \nabla_{e_1} e_3 &= 0, \\ \nabla_{e_2} e_1 &= -(1+a)e_3, & \nabla_{e_2} e_2 &= 0, & \nabla_{e_2} e_3 &= (1+a)e_1, \\ \nabla_{e_3} e_1 &= (1-a)e_2, & \nabla_{e_3} e_2 &= -(1-a)e_1, & \nabla_{e_3} e_3 &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

We can also calculate the components of the Ricci tensor as

$$S(e_1, e_1) = 2(1 - a^2), \quad S(e_2, e_2) = 0, \quad S(e_3, e_3) = 0. \tag{55}$$

If we take  $a = 0$ , we note that  $X = e_1$  is a  $\phi$ -holomorphic planar conformal vector field for  $l = 0$  and  $m = -1$  such that  $\phi e_1 = 0$ . Hence the example fits for Lemma 3.1. Then for  $a = 0$ ,  $k = 1$  and the manifold is  $K$ -contact and hence Sasakian. This agrees with Theorem 3.1. The vector fields  $e_2$  and  $e_3$  are not  $\phi$ -holomorphic planar conformal.

For  $a = 0$ , the vector field  $e_2$  is not Ricci biconformal. We see that if  $e_2$  would Ricci biconformal, then

$$(\mathcal{L}_{e_2} g)(e_1, e_1) = \alpha g(e_1, e_1) + \beta S(e_1, e_1)$$

and

$$(\mathcal{L}_{e_2} S)(e_1, e_1) = \alpha S(e_1, e_1) + \beta g(e_1, e_1)$$

gives  $\alpha = 0 = \beta$  but

$$(\mathcal{L}_{e_2} g)(e_1, e_3) = \alpha g(e_1, e_3) + \beta S(e_1, e_3)$$

gives  $\alpha = 1$ . So  $e_2$  is not Ricci biconformal. Similarly  $e_3$  and  $e_1$  are not Ricci biconformal. This validates Corollary 4.1 and Corollary 4.2.

For  $a = \pm 1$ , the manifold is conformal Ricci almost soliton for  $\lambda = \frac{p}{2} + \frac{2}{3} - a^2$ . In that case  $r = 0$  and the manifold is flat. This argues that Theorem 5.1 is authentic.

## References

- [1] G. P. Alfonso, M. M. S. Jose, Bi-conformal vector fields and their applications, arXiv:math-ph/0311014v2.
- [2] C. Baikoussis, D. E. Blair, T. Koufogiorgos, A decomposition of the curvature tensor of a contact manifold satisfying  $R(X, Y)\xi = k(\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y)$ , Math. Technical Reports, University of Ioannina, Greece, 1992.
- [3] N. Basu, A. Bhattacharyya, Conformal Ricci soliton in Kenmotsu manifold, Global J. Adv. Res. on Class. Mod. Geom., 4 (2015) 15-21.
- [4] D. E. Blair, Riemannian geometry of contact and symplectic manifolds, Progress in Math., 203, Birkhauser, 2010.
- [5] D. E. Blair, T. Koufogiorgos, B. J. Papantoniou, Contact metric manifolds satisfying a nullity condition, Israel J. Math., 91 (1995) 189-214.
- [6] H. D. Cao, B. Chow, Recent developments on the Ricci flow, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 36 (1999) 59-74.
- [7] U. C. De, Certain results on  $N(k)$ -contact metric manifolds, Tamkang J. Math., 49 (2018) 205-220.
- [8] U. C. De, A. Yildiz, S. Ghosh, On a class of  $N(k)$ -contact metric manifolds, Math. Reports, 16 (2004) 207-217.
- [9] S. Deshmukh, Geometry of conformal vector fields, Arab J. Math. Sci, 23 (2017) 44-73.
- [10] A. E. Fischer, An introduction to conformal Ricci flow, Class. Quantum Grav., 21 (2004) 171-218.
- [11] A. Ghosh, Holomorphically planar conformal vector fields on contact metric manifolds, Acta Math. Hungarica, 129 (2010) 357-367.

- [12] R. S. Hamilton, The Ricci flow on surfaces, Mathematics and general relativity (Santa Cruz, CA, 1986, 237-262.) Contemp. Math. 71, American Math. Soc., 1988.
- [13] J. B. Jun, U. C. De, On  $N(k)$ -contact metric manifolds satisfying some curvature conditions, Kyungponk Math. J., 34 (2011) 457-468.
- [14] H. G. Nagaraja, K. Venu,  $f$ -Kenmotsu metric as conformal Ricci soliton, Ann. Univ. Vest. Timisoara. Ser. Math. Inform., LV (2017) 119-127.
- [15] M. Okumura, Some remarks on space with certain contact structures, Tohoku Math. J., 14 (1962) 135-145.
- [16] M. Okumura, On infinitesimal conformal and projective transformations of normal contact spaces, Tohoku Math. J., 14 (1962),398-412.
- [17] C. Ozgur, S. Sular, On  $N(k)$ -contact metric manifolds satisfying certain conditions, SUT J. Math., 44 (2008) 89-99.
- [18] G. Perelman, The entropy formula for the Ricci flow and its geometric applications, arXiv:math/0211159v1.
- [19] S. Pigola, M. Rigoli, M., Rimoldi, A. G. Setti, Ricci almost solitons, Ann. Sc. Norm. Super Pisa Cl. Sci., 10 (2011) 757-799.
- [20] R. Sharma, D. E. Blair, Conformal motion of contact manifolds with characteristic vector field in the  $k$ -nullity distribution, Illinois J. Math., 40 (1996) 553-563.
- [21] R. Sharma, Holomorphically planar conformal vector fields on almost Hermitian manifolds, Contemp. Math., 337 (2003) 145-154.
- [22] R. Sharma, L. Vrancken, Conformal classification of  $(k, \mu)$ -Contact manifolds, Kodai Math. J., 33 (2010) 267-282.
- [23] R. Sharma, Certain results on  $K$ -contact and  $(k, \mu)$ -contact metric manifolds, J. Geom., 89 (2008) 138-147.
- [24] R. Sharma, Conformal and projective characterizations of an odd dimensional unit sphere , Kodai Math. J., 42 (2019) 160-169.
- [25] S. Tanno, Some transformations on manifolds with almost contact and contact metric structures, Tohoku Math. J., 15 (1963) 140-147.
- [26] K. Yano, Integral formulas in Riemannian geometry, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1970.

Please cite this article using:

Uday Chand De, Arpan Sardar, Avijit Sarkar, Some conformal vector fields and conformal Ricci solitons on  $N(k)$ -contact metric manifolds, AUT J. Math. Comput., 2(1) (2021) 61-71  
DOI: 10.22060/ajmc.2021.19220.1043

