



Original Article

A class of operator related weighted composition operators between Zygmund space

Ebrahim Abbasi^{*a}

^aDepartment of Mathematics, Mahabad Branch, Islamic Azad University, Mahabad, Iran

ABSTRACT: Let \mathbb{D} be the open unit disk in the complex plane \mathbb{C} and $H(\mathbb{D})$ be the set of all analytic functions on \mathbb{D} . Let $u, v \in H(\mathbb{D})$ and φ be an analytic self-map of \mathbb{D} . A class of operator related weighted composition operators is defined as follow

$$T_{u,v,\varphi}f(z) = u(z)f(\varphi(z)) + v(z)f'(\varphi(z)), \quad f \in H(\mathbb{D}), \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

In this work, we obtain some new characterizations for boundedness and essential norm of operator $T_{u,v,\varphi}$ between Zygmund space.

Review History:

Received:06 August 2020
Accepted:25 September 2020
Available Online:01 February 2021

Keywords:

Boundedness
Compactness
Essential norm
Zygmund space

AMS Subject Classification (2010):

16W25; 46L57; 47B47

1. Introduction

Let \mathbb{D} be the open unit disk in the complex plane \mathbb{C} and $H(\mathbb{D})$ be the set of all analytic functions on \mathbb{D} . For a function $u \in H(\mathbb{D})$ and analytic self-map φ of $\mathbb{D}(\varphi(\mathbb{D}) \subset \mathbb{D})$, the weighted composition operator uC_φ on $H(\mathbb{D})$ is defined by

$$(uC_\varphi f)(z) = u(z)f(\varphi(z)), \quad f \in H(\mathbb{D}), \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

When $u \equiv 1$, we get the composition operator C_φ , which is defined by $C_\varphi(f) = f \circ \varphi$. For more information about weighted composition operators see [1, 2, 13, 14].

Let $u, v \in H(\mathbb{D})$ and φ be an analytic self-map of \mathbb{D} . S. Stević and co-authors in [11] defined the operator $T_{u,v,\varphi}$ as follows

$$T_{u,v,\varphi}f(z) = u(z)f(\varphi(z)) + v(z)f'(\varphi(z)), \quad f \in H(\mathbb{D}), \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

Let D denote the differentiation operator then $T_{u,v,\varphi} = uC_\varphi + vC_\varphi D$. More information about the operator $T_{u,v,\varphi}$ can be found in [4, 7, 11, 10, 15, 16, 17]. Product-type operators on some spaces of analytic functions on the unit disk and the unit ball or the upper half-plane have become a subject of increasing interest in the last five years (see, e.g., the following representative papers [3, 5, 6, 9], and the related references therein).

^{*}Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: ebrahimabbasi81@gmail.com

A function $f \in H(\mathbb{D})$ is said to be in the Zygmund space \mathcal{Z} , if

$$\sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} (1 - |z|^2) |f''(z)| < \infty.$$

The space \mathcal{Z} becomes a Banach space with the following norm

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{Z}} = |f(0)| + |f'(0)| + \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} (1 - |z|^2) |f''(z)| < \infty.$$

The little Zygmund space \mathcal{Z}_0 , is a closed subspace of \mathcal{Z} , consists of all function $f \in \mathcal{Z}$ for which $\lim_{|z| \rightarrow 1} (1 - |z|^2) |f''(z)| = 0$.

From [18, Proposition 8], we get the next lemma.

Lemma 1.1. For any $f \in \mathcal{Z}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{Z}} \approx \sum_{i=0}^n |f^{(i)}(0)| + \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} (1 - |z|^2)^{n+1} |f^{(n+2)}(z)|.$$

Lemma 1.2 ([14]). Let $f \in \mathcal{Z}$. Then,

$$|f(z)| \leq \|f\|_{\mathcal{Z}} \quad \text{and} \quad |f'(z)| \leq \|f\|_{\mathcal{Z}} \log \frac{2}{1 - |z|^2}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

Lemma 1.3 ([14]). Let $\{f_n\}$ be a bounded sequence in \mathcal{Z} which converges to zero uniformly on compact subsets of \mathbb{D} . Then

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} |f_n(z)| = 0.$$

Recall that the essential norm of a continuous linear operator $T : X \rightarrow Y$ is the distance from T to the compact operators, that is

$$\|T\|_{e, X \rightarrow Y} = \inf \{ \|T - K\| : K : X \rightarrow Y \text{ is compact} \}.$$

Here X and Y are Banach spaces. Notice that $\|T\|_e = 0$ if and only if T is compact.

Recently, Liu and Yu in [7] studied the boundedness and compactness of operator $T_{u,v,\varphi}$ from the Besov spaces into the weighted-type space H_{μ}^{∞} . In this work, we find some characterizations for boundedness and essential norm of operator $T_{u,v,\varphi} : \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$. As some applications, we get some new characterizations of the boundedness, essential norm and compactness of weighted composition operators between Zygmund space.

Throughout this paper, we say that $A \succeq B$, if there exists a constant C such that $A \geq CB$. The symbol $A \approx B$ means that $A \succeq B \succeq A$.

2. Boundedness

In this section, the boundedness of operator $T_{u,v,\varphi}$ between Zygmund spaces is characterized. We begin with the next lemma.

Lemma 2.1. Let φ be an analytic self-map of \mathbb{D} . Then for any $a \in \mathbb{D}$, there exists a function Ψ_a in \mathcal{Z}_0 such that $\sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} \|\Psi_a\|_{\mathcal{Z}} < \infty$ and

$$\Psi_a(\varphi(a)) = \Psi_a''(\varphi(a)) = \Psi_a'''(\varphi(a)) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \Psi_a'(\varphi(a)) = \log \frac{2}{1 - |\varphi(a)|^2}.$$

Proof. If $\varphi(a) = 0$, then set $\Psi_a(z) = \int_0^z \log 2 \, d\xi$, as desired. For any $a \in \mathbb{D}$ with $\varphi(a) \neq 0$ and $k \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, set

$$h_{a,k}(z) = \frac{(k+3)!}{k!} + \int_{\varphi(a)}^z \left((3+k) \log \frac{2}{1 - \overline{\varphi(a)}\xi} - \frac{(\log \frac{2}{1 - \varphi(a)\xi})^{3+k}}{(\log \frac{2}{1 - |\varphi(a)|^2})^{2+k}} \right) d\xi.$$

It is obvious that $h_{a,k} \in \mathcal{Z}_0$. In this case

$$\Psi_a(z) = 5h_{a,1}(z) - 6h_{a,2}(z) + 2h_{a,3}(z)$$

as desired. By simple calculation, we get

$$\sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} \|h_{a,k}\|_{\mathcal{Z}} < \infty \quad k \in \{1, 2, 3\}.$$

Hence, $\sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} \|\Psi_a\|_{\mathcal{Z}} < \infty$. □

For simplicity in calculation, we set

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{A}_0(z) &= (1 - |z|^2)|u''(z)|, & \widetilde{A}_1(z) &= (1 - |z|^2)|2u'(z)\varphi'(z) + u(z)\varphi''(z) + v''(z)| \\ \widetilde{A}_2(z) &= (1 - |z|^2)|u(z)\varphi'^2(z) + 2v'(z)\varphi'(z) + v(z)\varphi''(z)|, & \widetilde{A}_3(z) &= (1 - |z|^2)|v(z)\varphi'^2(z)|. \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

Theorem 2.2. *Let $u \in \mathcal{Z}$, $v \in H(\mathbb{D})$ and φ be an analytic self-map of \mathbb{D} . Then the following statements are equivalent.*

(a) *The operator $T_{u,v,\varphi} : \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ is bounded.*

(b) *The operator $T_{u,v,\varphi} : \mathcal{Z}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ is bounded.*

(c) $\max \left\{ \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \widetilde{A}_1(z) \log \frac{2}{1 - |\varphi(z)|^2}, \sup_{j \geq 1} j^{-1} \|u\varphi^j + jv\varphi^{j-1}\|_{\mathcal{Z}} \right\} < \infty$.

(d) $\max \left\{ \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \widetilde{A}_1(z) \log \frac{2}{1 - |\varphi(z)|^2}, \sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} \|T_{u,v,\varphi} f_{1,a}\|_{\mathcal{Z}} \right\} < \infty$ and

$$\max \left\{ \sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} \|T_{u,v,\varphi} f_{2,a}\|_{\mathcal{Z}} < \infty, \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \widetilde{A}_2(z), \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \widetilde{A}_3(z) \right\} < \infty,$$

where $f_{i,a}(z) = \frac{(1 - |a|^2)^{i+2}}{(1 - \bar{a}z)^{i+1}}$.

(e)

$$\max \left\{ \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \widetilde{A}_1(z) \log \frac{2}{1 - |\varphi(z)|^2}, \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \frac{\widetilde{A}_2(z)}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)}, \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \frac{\widetilde{A}_3(z)}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)^2} \right\} < \infty.$$

Proof. (a) \Rightarrow (b) It is obvious.

(b) \Rightarrow (c) For any $a \in \mathbb{D}$, let Ψ_a be the function defined in Lemma 2.1.

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{A}_1(a) \log \frac{2}{1 - |\varphi(a)|^2} &= \widetilde{A}_1(a) |\Psi'_a(\varphi(a))| = (1 - |a|^2) |(T_{u,v,\varphi} \Psi_a)''(a)| \leq \|T_{u,v,\varphi} \Psi_a\|_{\mathcal{Z}} \\ &\leq \|T_{u,v,\varphi}\|_{\mathcal{Z}} \sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} \|\Psi_a\|_{\mathcal{Z}} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

From [8], we know that the sequence $\{z^j\}_0^\infty$ is bounded in \mathcal{B}_0 , hence $\{j^{-1}z^j\}_1^\infty$ is bounded in \mathcal{Z}_0 , therefore

$$\sup_{j \geq 1} j^{-1} \|u\varphi^j + jv\varphi^{j-1}\|_{\mathcal{Z}} \leq \|T_{u,v,\varphi}\|_{\mathcal{Z}} \sup_{j \geq 1} \|z^j\|_{\mathcal{B}} < \infty.$$

(c) \Rightarrow (d) Let $p_j(z) = z^j$. For any $a \in \mathbb{D}$ and $i = 1, 2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_{u,v,\varphi} f_{i,a}\|_{\mathcal{Z}} &\leq (1 - |a|^2)^{i+1} \left(\|u\|_{\mathcal{Z}} + \sum_{j=1}^\infty \binom{i+j-1}{j} |a|^j j^{-1} \|T_{u,v,\varphi} p_j\|_{\mathcal{W}_\mu^n} \right) \\ &\leq (1 + i2^{i+1}) \max\{\|u\|_{\mathcal{Z}}, \sup_{j \geq 1} j^{-1} \|u\varphi^j + jv\varphi^{j-1}\|_{\mathcal{Z}}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since a is arbitrary, $\sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} \|T_{u,v,\varphi} f_{i,a}\|_{\mathcal{Z}} < \infty$. Applying the operator $T_{u,v,\varphi}$ for $p_2(z) = z^2$, so

$$\widetilde{A}_2(z) \leq \|T_{u,v,\varphi}\|_{\mathcal{Z}} + \widetilde{A}_0(z) |\varphi(z)|^2 + 2\widetilde{A}_1(z) |\varphi(z)| \leq \|T_{u,v,\varphi}\|_{\mathcal{Z}} + \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \widetilde{A}_0(z) + 2 \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \widetilde{A}_1(z) < \infty.$$

Therefore, $\sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \widetilde{A}_2(z) < \infty$. Similarly by applying the operator $T_{u,v,\varphi}$ for $p_3(z) = z^3$, we get

$$\widetilde{A}_3(z) \leq \|T_{u,v,\varphi}\|_{\mathcal{Z}} + \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \widetilde{A}_0(z) + 3 \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \widetilde{A}_1(z) + 6 \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \widetilde{A}_2(z) < \infty.$$

Hence $\sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \widetilde{A}_3(z) < \infty$.

(d) \Rightarrow (e) Suppose that (d) holds. we set

$$k_{1,a}(z) = \frac{5}{6} f_{1,a}(z) - \frac{1}{3} f_{2,a}(z). \tag{2}$$

Let $|\varphi(a)| > \frac{1}{2}$, so

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\widetilde{A}_2(a)|\varphi(a)|^2}{(1-|\varphi(a)|^2)} &\leq \sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} \|T_{u,v,\varphi} k_{1,\varphi(a)}\|_{\mathcal{Z}} + \sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} \widetilde{A}_0(a)(1-|\varphi(a)|^2) + \sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} \widetilde{A}_1(a)|\varphi(a)| \\ &\preceq \sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} \|f_{1,a}\|_{\mathcal{Z}} + \sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} \|f_{2,a}\|_{\mathcal{Z}} + \sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} \widetilde{A}_0(a) + \sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} \widetilde{A}_1(a) < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

From previous inequality, $\sup_{|\varphi(a)| > \frac{1}{2}} \frac{\widetilde{A}_2(a)}{(1-|\varphi(a)|^2)} < \infty$. Also by using (d), we obtain

$$\sup_{|\varphi(a)| \leq \frac{1}{2}} \frac{\widetilde{A}_2(a)}{(1-|\varphi(a)|^2)} \leq \frac{4}{3} \sup_{|\varphi(a)| \leq \frac{1}{2}} \widetilde{A}_2(a) < \infty.$$

Now we set

$$k_{2,a}(z) = \frac{-1}{6} f_{1,a}(z) + \frac{1}{12} f_{2,a}(z). \tag{3}$$

Let $|\varphi(a)| > \frac{1}{2}$, hence

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\widetilde{A}_3(a)|\varphi(a)|^3}{(1-|\varphi(a)|^2)^2} &\leq \sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} \|T_{u,v,\varphi} k_{2,\varphi(a)}\|_{\mathcal{Z}} + \sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} \widetilde{A}_0(a)(1-|\varphi(a)|^2) + \sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} \widetilde{A}_1(a)|\varphi(a)| \\ &\preceq \sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} \|f_{1,a}\|_{\mathcal{Z}} + \sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} \|f_{2,a}\|_{\mathcal{Z}} + \sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} \widetilde{A}_0(a) + \sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} \widetilde{A}_1(a) < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

So, $\sup_{|\varphi(a)| > \frac{1}{2}} \frac{\widetilde{A}_3(a)}{(1-|\varphi(a)|^2)^2} < \infty$. Also from (d), we have

$$\sup_{|\varphi(a)| \leq \frac{1}{2}} \frac{\widetilde{A}_3(a)}{(1-|\varphi(a)|^2)^2} \leq \frac{16}{9} \sup_{|\varphi(a)| \leq \frac{1}{2}} \widetilde{A}_3(a) < \infty.$$

(e) \Rightarrow (a) Let f be arbitrary function in \mathcal{Z} . Using Lemmas 1.1 and 1.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (1-|z|^2)|(T_{u,v,\varphi} f)''(z)| &\preceq \|f\|_{\mathcal{Z}} \|u\|_{\mathcal{Z}} + \|f\|_{\mathcal{Z}} \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \widetilde{A}_1(z) \log \frac{2}{1-|\varphi(z)|^2} \\ &\quad + \|f\|_{\mathcal{Z}} \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \frac{\widetilde{A}_2(z)}{(1-|\varphi(z)|^2)} + \|f\|_{\mathcal{Z}} \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \frac{\widetilde{A}_3(z)}{(1-|\varphi(z)|^2)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Also

$$|(T_{u,v,\varphi} f)(0)| \leq \|f\|_{\mathcal{Z}} \left(|u(0)| + |v(0)| \log \frac{2}{1-|\varphi(0)|^2} \right)$$

and

$$|(T_{u,v,\varphi} f)'(0)| \leq \|f\|_{\mathcal{Z}} \left(|u'(0)| + |u(0)\varphi'(0) + v'(0)| \log \frac{2}{1-|\varphi(0)|^2} + \frac{|v(0)|}{1-|\varphi(0)|^2} \right)$$

Thus, $T_{u,v,\varphi} : \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ is bounded. The proof is completed. □

3. Essential norm

In this section, some estimates for the essential norm of operator $T_{u,v,\varphi} : \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ are obtained. For the study of the essential norm, we need the following lemma, which can be proved in a standard way, see, for example [12, Lemma 2.10].

Lemma 3.1. *Let φ be an analytic self-map of \mathbb{D} and $S : \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{Z}_0) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ be bounded. Then S is compact if and only if whenever $\{f_k\}$ is bounded in $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{Z}_0)$ and $f_k \rightarrow 0$ uniformly on compact subsets of \mathbb{D} , then*

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|Sf_k\|_{\mathcal{Z}} = 0.$$

Lemma 3.2. Let $u, v \in H(\mathbb{D})$ and φ be an analytic self-map of \mathbb{D} , such that $T_{u,v,\varphi} : \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ be bounded. Then

$$\|T_{u,v,\varphi}\|_{e,\mathcal{Z}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}} \geq \limsup_{|\varphi(z)| \rightarrow 1} \widetilde{A}_1(z) \log \frac{2}{1 - |\varphi(z)|^2}.$$

where $\widetilde{A}_1(z)$ is defined in (1).

Proof. Let $\{z_i\}$ be a sequence in \mathbb{D} such that $\lim |\varphi(z_i)| = 1$. We assume that for each i , $\varphi(z_i) \neq 0$. First we show that there exists a bounded sequence $\{\Psi_i\}$ in \mathcal{Z}_0 such that, $\{\Psi_i\}$ converge to 0 uniformly on compact subsets of \mathbb{D} and

$$\Psi_i(\varphi(z_i)) = \Psi_i''(\varphi(z_i)) = \Psi_i'''(\varphi(z_i)) = 0, \quad \Psi_i'(\varphi(z_i)) = \log \frac{2}{1 - |\varphi(z_i)|^2}.$$

For each i and $k \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, we set

$$h_{i,k}(z) = (k+1)(k+2)(k+3) + \int_{\varphi(z_i)}^z \left((3+k) \frac{\left(\log \frac{2}{1-\varphi(z_i)\xi}\right)^{2+k}}{\left(\log \frac{2}{1-|\varphi(z_i)|^2}\right)^{1+k}} - (2+k) \frac{\left(\log \frac{2}{1-\varphi(z_i)\xi}\right)^{3+k}}{\left(\log \frac{2}{1-|\varphi(z_i)|^2}\right)^{2+k}} \right) d\xi.$$

It is clear that $h_{i,k} \in \mathcal{Z}_0$. Now the following sequence give us all mentioned properties

$$\Psi_i(z) = \frac{1}{12}h_{i,1}(z) - \frac{3}{20}h_{i,2}(z) + \frac{1}{15}h_{i,3}(z).$$

Let $K : \mathcal{Z}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ be arbitrary compact operator. By using Lemma 3.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|(T_{u,v,\varphi} - K)\Psi_i\|_{\mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}} &\geq \|(T_{u,v,\varphi} - K)\Psi_i\|_{\mathcal{Z}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}} \geq \limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} \|T_{u,v,\varphi}\Psi_i\|_{\mathcal{Z}} - \limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} \|K\Psi_i\|_{\mathcal{Z}} \\ &\geq \limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} \widetilde{A}_1(z_i) \log \frac{2}{1 - |\varphi(z_i)|^2} = \limsup_{|\varphi(z)| \rightarrow 1} \widetilde{A}_1(z) \log \frac{2}{1 - |\varphi(z)|^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Based on the definition of essential norm, we have

$$\|T_{u,v,\varphi}\|_{e,\mathcal{Z}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}} = \inf_K \|T_{u,v,\varphi} - K\| \geq \limsup_{|\varphi(z)| \rightarrow 1} \widetilde{A}_1(z) \log \frac{2}{1 - |\varphi(z)|^2}.$$

The proof is completed. □

Theorem 3.3. Let $u, v \in H(\mathbb{D})$, φ be an analytic self-map of \mathbb{D} and $T_{u,v,\varphi} : \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ be bounded. Then

$$\|T_{u,v,\varphi}\|_{e,\mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}} \approx \max\{\rho_i\}_{i=0}^2 \approx \max\{\sigma_i\}_{i=0}^2$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} f_{i,a}(z) &= \frac{(1 - |a|^2)^{i+2}}{(1 - \bar{a}z)^{i+1}}, & \sigma_0 = \rho_0 &= \limsup_{|\varphi(z)| \rightarrow 1} \widetilde{A}_1(z) \log \frac{2}{1 - |\varphi(z)|^2}, & \sigma_1 &= \limsup_{|a| \rightarrow 1} \|T_{u,v,\varphi} f_{1,a}\|_{\mathcal{Z}}, \\ \sigma_2 &= \limsup_{|a| \rightarrow 1} \|T_{u,v,\varphi} f_{2,a}\|_{\mathcal{Z}}, & \rho_1 &= \limsup_{|\varphi(z)| \rightarrow 1} \frac{\widetilde{A}_2(z)}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)}, & \rho_2 &= \limsup_{|\varphi(z)| \rightarrow 1} \frac{\widetilde{A}_3(z)}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)^2} \end{aligned}$$

and $\widetilde{A}_0(z), \widetilde{A}_1(z), \widetilde{A}_2(z), \widetilde{A}_3(z)$ are defined in (1).

Proof. Let $\{z_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in \mathbb{D} such that $\lim |\varphi(z_j)| = 1$ and $k_{i,a}(i = 1, 2)$ be functions are defined in (2) and (3). It is clear that for all $a \in \mathbb{D}$, $\|k_{i,a}\|_{\mathcal{Z}} \leq 1 (i = 1, 2)$ and if $a \neq 0$ then $k_{i,a} \rightarrow 0$ uniformly on compact subsets of \mathbb{D} as $|a| \rightarrow 1$. So, by using Lemma 3.1 for any compact operator K from \mathcal{Z} into \mathcal{Z} , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_{u,v,\varphi} - K\|_{\mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}} &\geq \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|(T_{u,v,\varphi} - K)k_{i,\varphi(z_j)}\|_{\mathcal{Z}} \geq \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|T_{u,v,\varphi} k_{i,\varphi(z_j)}\|_{\mathcal{Z}} - \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|K k_{i,\varphi(z_j)}\|_{\mathcal{Z}} \\ &\geq \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\widetilde{A}_{i+1}(z_j) |\varphi(z_j)|^2}{(1 - |\varphi(z_j)|^2)^i} - \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \widetilde{A}_0(z_j) (1 - |\varphi(z_j)|^2) - \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \widetilde{A}_1(z_j) |\varphi(z_j)|. \end{aligned}$$

From Theorem 2.2(c), we have $\limsup_{|\varphi(z)| \rightarrow 1} \widetilde{A}_1(z) = 0$, so from previous inequality and definition of essential norm, we have

$$\|T_{u,v,\varphi}\|_{e,\mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}} = \inf_K \|T_{u,v,\varphi} - K\|_{\mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}} \succeq \max\{\rho_1, \rho_2\}.$$

Using Lemma 3.2, we get

$$\|T_{u,v,\varphi}\|_{e,\mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}} \succeq \max\{\rho_0, \rho_1, \rho_2\}.$$

Also $\|f_{i,a}\|_{\mathcal{Z}} \leq 1 (i = 1, 2)$ and $f_{i,a} \rightarrow 0$ uniformly on compact subsets of \mathbb{D} as $|a| \rightarrow 1$. So, for any compact operator $K : \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$, by Lemma 3.1, we get $\limsup_{|a| \rightarrow 1} \|K f_{i,a}\|_{\mathcal{Z}} = 0$. Hence

$$\|T_{u,v,\varphi} - K\|_{\mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}} \succeq \limsup_{|a| \rightarrow 1} \|(T_{u,v,\varphi} - K)f_{i,a}\|_{\mathcal{Z}} \geq \limsup_{|a| \rightarrow 1} \|T_{u,v,\varphi} f_{i,a}\|_{\mathcal{Z}} - \limsup_{|a| \rightarrow 1} \|K f_{i,a}\|_{\mathcal{Z}} = \sigma_i.$$

By the last inequality and Lemma 3.2, we obtain

$$\|T_{u,v,\varphi}\|_{e,\mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}} = \inf_K \|T_{u,v,\varphi} - K\|_{\mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}} \succeq \max\{\sigma_0, \sigma_1, \sigma_2\}.$$

Now, we prove that

$$\min\{\max\{\sigma_i\}_{i=0}^2, \max\{\rho_i\}_{i=0}^2\} \succeq \|T_{u,v,\varphi}\|_{e,\mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}}.$$

For $r \in [0, 1)$, we define $K_r f(z) = f_r(z) = f(rz)$. It is clear that $K_r : \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ is a compact operator with $\|K_r\| \leq 1$. Also we know that $f_r \rightarrow f$ uniformly on compact subsets of \mathbb{D} as $r \rightarrow 1$. Let $\{r_j\} \subset (0, 1)$ be a sequence such that $r_j \rightarrow 1$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$. Then for any positive integer j , the operator $T_{u,v,\varphi} K_{r_j} : \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ is compact. So

$$\limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|T_{u,v,\varphi} - T_{u,v,\varphi} K_{r_j}\| \geq \|T_{u,v,\varphi}\|_{e,\mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}}.$$

Therefore, based on the definition of essential norm it is enough to prove that

$$\min\{\max\{\sigma_i\}_{i=0}^2, \max\{\rho_i\}_{i=0}^2\} \succeq \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|T_{u,v,\varphi} - T_{u,v,\varphi} K_{r_j}\|_{\mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}}.$$

Let f be arbitrary function in \mathcal{Z} such that $\|f\|_{\mathcal{Z}} \leq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|(T_{u,v,\varphi} - T_{u,v,\varphi} K_{r_j})f\|_{\mathcal{Z}} &\leq \underbrace{(|u(0)| + |u'(0)|)(|f - f_{r_j}(\varphi(0))|)}_{R_1} + \underbrace{|v(0)\varphi'(0)|(|f - f_{r_j}(\varphi(0))|)}_{R_2} \\ &+ \underbrace{(|v(0)| + |u(0)\varphi'(0)| + |v'(0)|)(|f - f_{r_j}(\varphi(0))|)}_{R_3} + \underbrace{\sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \widetilde{A}_0(z)|f - f_{r_j}(\varphi(z))|}_{L_0} + \underbrace{\sup_{|\varphi(z)| \leq r_N} \widetilde{A}_1(z)|f - f_{r_j}(\varphi(z))|}_{L_{11}} \\ &+ \underbrace{\sup_{|\varphi(z)| > r_N} \widetilde{A}_1(z)|f - f_{r_j}(\varphi(z))|}_{L_{12}} + \underbrace{\sup_{|\varphi(z)| \leq r_N} \widetilde{A}_2(z)|f - f_{r_j}(\varphi(z))|}_{L_{21}} + \underbrace{\sup_{|\varphi(z)| > r_N} \widetilde{A}_2(z)|f - f_{r_j}(\varphi(z))|}_{L_{22}} \\ &+ \underbrace{\sup_{|\varphi(z)| \leq r_N} \widetilde{A}_3(z)|f - f_{r_j}(\varphi(z))|}_{L_{31}} + \underbrace{\sup_{|\varphi(z)| > r_N} \widetilde{A}_3(z)|f - f_{r_j}(\varphi(z))|}_{L_{32}} \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

where $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $r_j \geq \frac{1}{2}$ for all $j \geq N$. Since for any $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $(f - f_{r_j})^{(k)} \rightarrow 0$ uniformly on compact subsets of \mathbb{D} as $j \rightarrow \infty$, from Lemmas 1.2, 1.3 and Theorem 2.2 (d), we get

$$\limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} R_t = \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} L_0 = \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} L_{t1} = 0 \quad (t = 1, 2, 3). \tag{5}$$

Also

$$L_{12} \leq \underbrace{\sup_{|\varphi(z)| > r_N} \widetilde{A}_1(z)|f'(\varphi(z))|}_{L_{12}^1} + \underbrace{\sup_{|\varphi(z)| > r_N} \widetilde{A}_1(z)|r_j f'(r_j \varphi(z))|}_{L_{12}^2}. \tag{6}$$

No we obtain estimate for L_{12}^1 . Using Lemma 1.2,

$$L_{12}^1 = \sup_{|\varphi(z)| > r_N} \widetilde{A}_1(z) |f'(\varphi(z))| \preceq \sup_{|\varphi(z)| > r_N} \widetilde{A}_1(z) \|f\|_{\mathcal{Z}} \log \frac{2}{1 - |\varphi(z)|^2}.$$

Letting $N \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$\limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} L_{12}^1 \preceq \sigma_0 = \rho_0. \tag{7}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} L_{12}^2 \preceq \sigma_0 = \rho_0. \tag{8}$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} L_{22} &\leq \underbrace{\sup_{|\varphi(z)| > r_N} \widetilde{A}_2(z) |f''(\varphi(z))|}_{L_{22}^1} + \underbrace{\sup_{|\varphi(z)| > r_N} \widetilde{A}_2(z) |r_j f''(r_j \varphi(z))|}_{L_{22}^2}, \\ L_{32} &\leq \underbrace{\sup_{|\varphi(z)| > r_N} \widetilde{A}_3(z) |f'''(\varphi(z))|}_{L_{32}^1} + \underbrace{\sup_{|\varphi(z)| > r_N} \widetilde{A}_3(z) |r_j f'''(r_j \varphi(z))|}_{L_{32}^2}. \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

Now we estimate $L_{2s}^1 (s = 2, 3)$. From Lemmas 1.1, 1.2, and (2) and (3),

$$\begin{aligned} L_{s2}^1 &= \sup_{|\varphi(z)| > r_N} \frac{(1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)^{s-1} |f^{(s)}(\varphi(z))|}{|\varphi(z)|^s} \frac{|\varphi(z)|^s \widetilde{A}_s(z)}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)^{s-1}} \preceq \|f\|_{\mathcal{Z}} \sup_{|\varphi(z)| > r_N} \|T_{u,v,\varphi} k_{s,\varphi(z)}\|_{\mathcal{Z}} \\ &\preceq \sup_{|a| > r_N} \|T_{u,v,\varphi} f_{1,a}\|_{\mathcal{Z}} + \sup_{|a| > r_N} \|T_{u,v,\varphi} f_{2,a}\|_{\mathcal{Z}}. \end{aligned}$$

Letting $N \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$\limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} L_{s2}^1 \preceq \rho_{s-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} L_{s2}^1 \preceq \max\{\sigma_1, \sigma_2\}. \tag{10}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} L_{s2}^2 \preceq \rho_{s-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} L_{s2}^2 \preceq \max\{\sigma_1, \sigma_2\}. \tag{11}$$

By using (4), (5), (6), (7), (8), (9), (10) and (11), we obtain

$$\max\{\sigma_0, \sigma_1, \sigma_2\} \succeq \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\|f\|_{\mathcal{Z}} \leq 1} \|(T_{u,v,\varphi} - T_{u,v,\varphi} K_{r_j})f\|_{\mathcal{Z}} = \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|T_{u,v,\varphi} - T_{u,v,\varphi} K_{r_j}\|_{\mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}}$$

and

$$\max\{\rho_0, \rho_1, \rho_2\} \succeq \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|T_{u,v,\varphi} - T_{u,v,\varphi} K_{r_j}\|_{\mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}}.$$

Hence,

$$\min\{\max\{\sigma_i\}_{i=0}^2, \max\{\rho_i\}_{i=0}^2\} \succeq \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|T_{u,v,\varphi} - T_{u,v,\varphi} K_{r_j}\|_{\mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}}.$$

The proof is completed. □

Theorem 3.4. Let $u, v \in H(\mathbb{D})$, φ be an analytic self-map of \mathbb{D} and $T_{u,v,\varphi} : \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ be bounded. Then

$$\|T_{u,v,\varphi}\|_{e,\mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}} \approx \|T_{u,v,\varphi}\|_{e,\mathcal{Z}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}} \approx \max\left\{ \limsup_{|\varphi(z)| \rightarrow 1} \widetilde{A}_1(z) \log \frac{2}{1 - |\varphi(z)|^2}, \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} j^{-1} \|u\varphi^j + jv\varphi^{j-1}\|_{\mathcal{Z}} \right\}$$

where $\widetilde{A}_1(z)$ is defined in (1).

Proof. Let $p_j(z) = z^j$. It is clear that $\{j^{-1}p_j\}_1^\infty \subset \mathcal{Z}_0$ and $j^{-1}p_j \rightarrow 0$ uniformly on compact subsets of \mathbb{D} as $j \rightarrow \infty$. So, for any compact operator $K : \mathcal{Z}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$, we have $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} j^{-1}\|Kp_j\|_{\mathcal{Z}} = 0$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_{u,v,\varphi} - K\|_{\mathcal{Z}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}} &\geq \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} j^{-1} \|(T_{u,v,\varphi} - K)p_j\|_{\mathcal{Z}} - \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} j^{-1} \|T_{u,v,\varphi} p_j\|_{\mathcal{Z}} \\ &= \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} j^{-1} \|u\varphi^j + jv\varphi^{j-1}\|_{\mathcal{Z}}. \end{aligned}$$

So, by using the last inequality and Lemma 3.2, we get

$$\|T_{u,v,\varphi}\|_{e,\mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}} \geq \|T_{u,v,\varphi}\|_{e,\mathcal{Z}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}} \geq \max\left\{\limsup_{|\varphi(z)| \rightarrow 1} \widetilde{A}_1(z) \log \frac{2}{1 - |\varphi(z)|^2}, \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} j^{-1} \|u\varphi^j + jv\varphi^{j-1}\|_{\mathcal{Z}}\right\}.$$

Now we prove the other side. For any fix positive integer $k \geq 1$ and $i = 1, 2$, since $T_{u,v,\varphi} : \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ is bounded, from Theorem 2.2, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_{u,v,\varphi} f_{i,a}\|_{\mathcal{Z}} &\leq C_i (1 - |a|^2)^{i+2} \sum_{j=0}^\infty \binom{i+j}{j} |a|^j \|u\varphi^j + jv\varphi^{j-1}\|_{\mathcal{Z}} \\ &= (1 - |a|^2)^{i+2} \left(\|u\|_{\mathcal{Z}} + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \binom{i+j}{j} j |a|^j j^{-1} \|u\varphi^j + jv\varphi^{j-1}\|_{\mathcal{Z}} \right) + (1 - |a|^2)^{i+2} \sum_{j=k}^\infty \binom{i+j}{j} j |a|^j j^{-1} \|u\varphi^j + jv\varphi^{j-1}\|_{\mathcal{Z}} \\ &\leq 2Q(k-1) \binom{i+k-1}{k-1} (1 - |a|^k) (1 - |a|^2)^{i+1} + i 2^{i+2} \sup_{j \geq k} j^{-1} \|u\varphi^j + jv\varphi^{j-1}\|_{\mathcal{Z}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $Q := \max\{\sup_{j \geq 1} j^{-1} \|u\varphi^j + jv\varphi^{j-1}\|_{\mathcal{Z}}, \|u\|_{\mathcal{Z}}\}$. Letting $|a| \rightarrow 1$, we obtain

$$\sigma_i = \limsup_{|a| \rightarrow 1} \|T_{u,v,\varphi} f_{i,a}\|_{\mathcal{Z}} \leq \sup_{j \geq k} j^{-1} \|u\varphi^j + jv\varphi^{j-1}\|_{\mathcal{Z}}.$$

Using last inequality and Theorem 3.3, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_{u,v,\varphi}\|_{e,\mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}} &\leq \max\left\{\limsup_{|\varphi(z)| \rightarrow 1} \widetilde{A}_1(z) \log \frac{2}{1 - |\varphi(z)|^2}, \sigma_1, \sigma_2\right\} \\ &\leq \max\left\{\limsup_{|\varphi(z)| \rightarrow 1} \widetilde{A}_1(z) \log \frac{2}{1 - |\varphi(z)|^2}, \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} j^{-1} \|u\varphi^j + jv\varphi^{j-1}\|_{\mathcal{Z}}\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete □

From Theorems 3.3 and 3.4, we get the following corollary.

Corollary 3.5. *Let $u, v \in H(\mathbb{D})$ and φ be an analytic self-map of \mathbb{D} such that $T_{u,v,\varphi} : \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ be bounded. Then the following statements are equivalent.*

- (a) *The operator $T_{u,v,\varphi} : \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ is compact.*
- (b) *The operator $T_{u,v,\varphi} : \mathcal{Z}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ is compact.*
- (c)

$$\limsup_{|\varphi(z)| \rightarrow 1} \widetilde{A}_1(z) \log \frac{2}{1 - |\varphi(z)|^2} = \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} j^{-1} \|u\varphi^j + jv\varphi^{j-1}\|_{\mathcal{Z}} = 0.$$

(d)

$$\limsup_{|\varphi(z)| \rightarrow 1} \widetilde{A}_1(z) \log \frac{2}{1 - |\varphi(z)|^2} = \limsup_{|a| \rightarrow 1} \|T_{u,v,\varphi} f_{1,a}\|_{\mathcal{Z}} = \limsup_{|a| \rightarrow 1} \|T_{u,v,\varphi} f_{2,a}\|_{\mathcal{Z}} = 0.$$

(e)

$$\limsup_{|\varphi(z)| \rightarrow 1} \widetilde{A}_1(z) \log \frac{2}{1 - |\varphi(z)|^2} = \limsup_{|\varphi(z)| \rightarrow 1} \frac{\widetilde{A}_2(z)}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)} = \limsup_{|\varphi(z)| \rightarrow 1} \frac{\widetilde{A}_3(z)}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)^2} = 0.$$

Remark 3.6. *Putting $v \equiv 0$ in Theorems 2.2, 3.3, 3.4, and Corollary 3.5, we get some new characterizations for boundedness, essential norm and compactness of operator $uC_\varphi : \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ (see Theorems 3.1 and 4.3 in [14] and Theorems 2.2. and 3.5 in [2]).*

References

- [1] C. C. COWEN AND B. D. MACCLUER, *Composition operators on spaces of analytic functions*, Studies in Advanced Mathematics, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 1995.
- [2] K. ESMAEILI AND M. LINDSTRÖM, *Weighted composition operators between Zygmund type spaces and their essential norms*, Integral Equations Operator Theory, 75 (2013), pp. 473–490.
- [3] Z.-J. JIANG, *Product-type operators from Zygmund spaces to Bloch-Orlicz spaces*, Complex Var. Elliptic Equ., 62 (2017), pp. 1645–1664.
- [4] Y. LIU AND Y. YU, *On a Stević-Sharma operator from Hardy spaces to the logarithmic Bloch spaces*, J. Inequal. Appl., (2015), pp. 2015:22, 19.
- [5] ———, *Products of composition, multiplication and radial derivative operators from logarithmic Bloch spaces to weighted-type spaces on the unit ball*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 423 (2015), pp. 76–93.
- [6] ———, *On an extension of Stević-Sharma operator from the general space to weighted-type spaces on the unit ball*, Complex Anal. Oper. Theory, 11 (2017), pp. 261–288.
- [7] ———, *On Stević-Sharma type operator from the Besov spaces into the weighted-type space H_μ^∞* , Math. Inequal. Appl., 22 (2019), pp. 1037–1053.
- [8] B. D. MACCLUER AND R. ZHAO, *Essential norms of weighted composition operators between Bloch-type spaces*, Rocky Mountain J. Math., 33 (2003), pp. 1437–1458.
- [9] S. STEVIĆ AND A. K. SHARMA, *On a product-type operator between Hardy and α -Bloch spaces of the upper half-plane*, J. Inequal. Appl., (2018), pp. Paper No. 273, 18.
- [10] S. STEVIĆ, A. K. SHARMA, AND A. BHAT, *Essential norm of products of multiplication composition and differentiation operators on weighted Bergman spaces*, Appl. Math. Comput., 218 (2011), pp. 2386–2397.
- [11] ———, *Products of multiplication composition and differentiation operators on weighted Bergman spaces*, Appl. Math. Comput., 217 (2011), pp. 8115–8125.
- [12] M. TJANI, *Compact composition operators on some Möbius invariant Banach spaces*, PhD thesis, Michigan State University, 1996.
- [13] H. WULAN, D. ZHENG, AND K. ZHU, *Compact composition operators on BMOA and the Bloch space*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 137 (2009), pp. 3861–3868.
- [14] S. YE AND Q. HU, *Weighted composition operators on the Zygmund space*, Abstr. Appl. Anal., (2012), pp. Art. ID 462482, 18.
- [15] Y. YU AND Y. LIU, *On Stević type operator from H^∞ space to the logarithmic Bloch spaces*, Complex Anal. Oper. Theory, 9 (2015), pp. 1759–1780.
- [16] F. ZHANG AND Y. LIU, *On the compactness of the Stević-Sharma operator on the logarithmic Bloch spaces*, Math. Inequal. Appl., 19 (2016), pp. 625–642.
- [17] ———, *On a Stević-Sharma operator from Hardy spaces to Zygmund-type spaces on the unit disk*, Complex Anal. Oper. Theory, 12 (2018), pp. 81–100.
- [18] K. H. ZHU, *Bloch type spaces of analytic functions*, Rocky Mountain J. Math., 23 (1993), pp. 1143–1177.

Please cite this article using:

Ebrahim Abbasi, A class of operator related weighted composition operators between Zygmund space, *AUT J. Math. Com.*, 2(1) (2021) 17-25
DOI: 10.22060/ajmc.2020.18833.1041

