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#### Abstract

Given a pool table enclosing a set of axis-aligned rectangles, with a total of $n$ edges, this paper studies closed billiard paths. A closed billiard path is formed by following the ball shooting from a starting point into some direction, such that it doesn't touch any corner of a rectangle, doesn't visit any point on the table twice, and stops exactly at the starting position. The signature of a billiard path is the sequence of the labels of edges in the order that are touched by the path, while repeated edge reflections like $a b a b$ are replaced by $a b$. We prove that the length of a signature is at most $4.5 n-9$, and we show that there exists an arrangement of rectangles where the length of the signature is $1.25 n+2$. We also prove that the number of distinct signatures for fixed shooting direction $\left(45^{\circ}\right)$ is at most $1.5 n-6$.
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## 1. Introduction

The closed billiard paths problem was first presented at the open problem session of the 29th Canadian Conference on Computational Geometry, and to our best knowledge, this paper gives the first study on this problem. O'Rourke defines the problem as follows [2]. Consider a collection of axis-aligned rectangles, which all are enclosed in one outer rectangle; the outer rectangle is the enclosing boundary (billiard table) and other rectangles are inner boundaries (obstacles). A simple, closed billiard path is a path that is closed, non-self-intersecting forming a simple polygon, that do not touch a rectangle corner, where all reflections are mirror reflection. The signature of a billiard path is defined by the labels of edges which reflect the billiard path, where the repeated edge reflections $(a b)^{k}$ are reduced to $a b$. In Figure 1, the signature of the billiard path $\left\langle 4(56)^{2} 1292(37)^{2} 348\right\rangle$ is 456129237348.

For a set of rectangles with a total of $n$ edges, O'Rourke posed the following open questions on simple, closed billiard paths.

1. What is the maximum length of such a signature?
2. What is the largest number of distinct signatures achievable for one fixed reflection angle (e.g., $45^{\circ}$ in Figure 1).
3. What is the largest number of distinct signatures achievable for paths at arbitrary reflection angles?

In this paper, in Section 2, we prove an upper bound of $4.5 n-9$ for the length of a signature, and we show that there exists an arrangement of rectangles with signature length $1.25 n+2$. Also, in Section 3, we prove that the number of distinct signatures for fixed reflection angle $45^{\circ}$ is bounded by $1.5 n-6$.

[^0]
## 2. Maximum Length of a Signature

Consider a billiard path $P$ and its signature $S$. We define an alternative signature $S^{\prime}$ from $S$, where any pattern $a b a$ in $S$ is replaced by $a b$; this results in $|S| \leq 1.5 \cdot\left|S^{\prime}\right|$. In Figure 1, the alternative signature of the billiard path with signature $S=456129237348$ is $S^{\prime}=4561293748$.

To compute the maximum length of a signature, we construct a planar graph $G(V, E)$ from a billiard path $P=\left\langle\ldots p_{k-1} p_{k} p_{k+1} \ldots\right\rangle$ such that $|E|=\left|S^{\prime}\right|$, where $S^{\prime}$ is the alternative signature of $P$. The set $V$ of nodes of $G$ are defined as follows: Corresponding to each rectangle edge $e_{i}$ we place a node $v_{i}$ at the middle of $e_{i}$ (see Figure $2(\mathrm{~b})$ ). We also draw the set $E$ of edges $\left(v_{i}, v_{j}\right)$ of $G$ using the following rules.


Figure 1: A billiard path of a set of four rectangles.


Figure 2: Consecutive segments between $e_{i}$ and $e_{j}$; (a) only one segment. (b) more than one segment.
(a) If there is only one segment $\overline{p_{k} p_{k+1}}$ in $P$ between rectangle edges $e_{i}$ and $e_{j}$, where $p_{k} \in e_{i}$ and $p_{k+1} \in e_{j}$, then we draw the edge $\left(v_{i}, v_{j}\right)$ with two bends at $p_{k}$ and $p_{k+1}$, i.e., $\left(v_{i}, v_{j}\right)=\left\langle\overline{v_{i} p_{k}}, \overline{p_{k} p_{k+1}}, \overline{p_{k+1}} v_{j}\right\rangle$. See Figure 2(a).
(b) If there exists a sequence of consecutive segments $\overline{p_{k} p_{k+1}}, \overline{p_{k+1} p_{k+2}}, \ldots$ in $P$ between two parallel rectangle edges $e_{i}$ and $e_{j}$, we ignore all but the first one $\left(\overline{p_{k} p_{k+1}}\right)$ and draw an edge $\left(v_{i}, v_{j}\right)$ in the graph $G$, similar to case (a).
(c) If there are two non-consecutive segments $\overline{p_{k} p_{k+1}}$ and $\overline{q_{k} q_{k+1}}$ in $P$ between two horizontal rectangle edges $e_{i}$ and $e_{j}$, where $p_{k}, q_{k} \in e_{i}$ and $p_{k+1}, q_{k+1} \in e_{j}$ and $\left|p_{k}\right|_{x}<\left|q_{k}\right|_{x}$, then there exists at least one rectangle inside
the quadrilateral $p_{k}, p_{k+1}, q_{k+1}, q_{k}$. Here, $|r|_{x}$ denotes the $x$-coordinate of $r$. Take the leftmost rectangle inside the quadrilateral and place a node $v_{l}$ on its left edge $e_{l}$; see Figure 3.
Note that there is no segment in path $P$ touching $e_{l}$, otherwise it would cause a self-intersection which means that $P$ is not a valid path. Also note that there can be no two segments in $P$ both above and below $e_{l}$ for the same reason; following this observation, w.l.o.g., assume there is no segment of $P$ between $e_{l}$ and $e_{j}$.
Corresponding to $\overline{p_{k} p_{k+1}}$, we draw an edge $\left(v_{i}, v_{j}\right)=\left\langle\overline{v_{i} p_{k}}, \overline{p_{k} p_{k+1}}, \overline{p_{k+1} v_{j}}\right\rangle$ with two bends at $p_{k}$ and $p_{k+1}$, and also corresponding to $\overline{q_{k} q_{k+1}}$ we draw an edge $\left(v_{l}, v_{j}\right)$ with one bend at $b$, the intersection of $e_{j}$ and the vertical line through $v_{l}$; i.e., $\left(v_{l}, v_{j}\right)=\left\langle\overline{v_{l} b}, \overline{b v_{j}}\right\rangle$.
Our method can easily be extended if there exist more than two, say three, non-consecutive segments (denote by $p_{k} p_{k+1}, q_{k} q_{k+1}$, and $r_{k} r_{k+1}$ in order from left to right) in P between two horizontal rectangle edges $e_{i}$ and $e_{j}$ : all we need to do is adding a new edge $\left(v_{l}^{\prime}, v_{j}\right)$ in $G$ corresponding to the next segment $r_{k} r_{k+1}$, where $v_{l}^{\prime}$ is on the left edge $e_{l}^{\prime}$ of the leftmost rectangle in the quadrilateral $q_{k} q_{k+1} r_{k+1} r_{k}$.
A similar approach works if the non-consecutive segments are between two vertical rectangle edges $e_{i}$ and $e_{j}$. Note that if there are two segments in $P$ between a vertical rectangle edge and a horizontal rectangle edge, as shown in Figure 4, this leads to self-intersection in $P$ and is thus obsolete.


Figure 3: Two non-consecutive segments $\overline{p_{k} p_{k+1}}$ and $\overline{q_{k} q_{k+1}}$ between $e_{i}$ and $e_{j}$.


Figure 4: Two segments in path between a vertical and a horizontal rectangle edge lead to self-intersection.
By the above construction, there could be the case that some segments $s_{i}$ and $s_{l}$ (like $s_{l}=\overline{b v_{j}}$ and $s_{i}=\overline{p_{k+1} v_{j}}$ in Figure 3) of edges $\left(v_{i}, v_{j}\right)$ and ( $v_{l}, v_{j}$ ) overlap on an edge $e_{j}$ of a rectangle. We can define a valid ordering between the edges of $G$ whose segments overlap on some rectangle edge $e_{j}$ : Consider a line $L_{j}$ parallel to $e_{j}$ which intersects all the edges of $G$ overlapping on $e_{j}$; see Figure 5. The distances from the intersection points to $v_{j}$ define an ordering for the corresponding edges (and hence an ordering for their segments which overlap on $e_{j}$; denote the segments in order by $s_{j, 1}, s_{j, 2}, \ldots, s_{j, k}$ ). Since we have an ordering for segments $s_{j, 1}, s_{j, 2}, \ldots, s_{j, k}$, it is easy to slightly move the segments in order (by adding some new bends) inside the rectangle of $e_{j}$ in such a way that no two edges of $G$ overlap. Thus we can obtain a planar drawing for $G$. Figure 5 depicts a drawing of three edges inside a rectangle with no overlappings of their segments.

By Euler's formula [1], it is proven that for any planar graph $G$, the number of edges is at most $3 n-6$. Thus $\left|S^{\prime}\right| \leq 3 n-6$. Since the length of a signature $S$ of a billiard path $P$ is at most 1.5 times the length of the alternative signature $S^{\prime}$ of $P$, the following obtains.

Theorem 1. For a collection of axis-aligned rectangles, all enclosed in one rectangle, with a total of $n$ edges, the maximum length of a signature is at most $4.5 n-9$.

Remark. In Theorem 1 there is no dependency on the reflection angle. Therefore, such a bound holds for arbitrary reflection angles.


Figure 5: Drawing the edges with no overlappings.

Lower bound. Consider a set of $4 k+2$ rectangles with a total of $n$ edges. Let $R$ be the outer rectangle, where we place the bottom-left corner of $R$ at the origin and its top-right corner at $(4 k+3,8)$.

Let $R_{1}, \ldots, R_{k+1}$ be a collection of rectangles, each with width 1 and height 7 ; place the rectangle $R_{i}$ with its center at $(4 i-2.5,4)$. Let $R_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, R_{k}^{\prime}$ be a collection of rectangles, each with width 2 and height 2 ; place the rectangle $R_{i}^{\prime}$ with its center at $(4 i-0.5,4)$. Let $R_{1}^{\prime \prime}, \ldots, R_{2 k}^{\prime \prime}$ be a collection of rectangles, each with width 1 and height 2; place the rectangle $R_{2 i+1}^{\prime \prime}$ with its center at $(4 i-0.5,1.5)$ and the rectangle $R_{2 i}^{\prime \prime}$ with its center at ( $4 i-0.5,6.5$ ).

If we shoot a ray from $(1,0)$ with reflection angle $45^{\circ}$ to the right, length of the signature of the resulting billiard path would be $1.25 n+2$. As seen in Figure 6, for $k=2$, the number of edges is equal to $n=40$ and the length of the signature is 52 . It is obvious to see that, by increasing $k$ by one unit (i.e., adding four new inner rectangles) the signature length increases by 20 .


Figure 6: The signature length of ten rectangles is 50 .

## 3. Number of Distinct Signatures

To count the number of distinct signatures, we charge each signature to a corner of a rectangle.
For each biliard path $P$, we define a starting point $p_{s}$ residing on some upper edge of a rectangle ${ }^{1}$. Now, you can trace the path by following a ray of light shooting from $p_{s}$, where the edges of rectangles are perfect mirrors ${ }^{2}$.

[^1]Since we have a fixed reflection angle $45^{\circ}$, the billiard path $P$ can now be represented by the shooting point $p_{s}$ residing on some upper edge of a rectangle.

Lemma 1. Let $P$ be a billiard path with signature $S$ and shooting point $p_{s}$. If $p_{s}$ is translated to the right by the amount $\alpha$ such that the corresponding rays do not touch any corner over translation, the new point $p_{s}^{\prime}$ (which is of distance $\alpha$ to $p_{s}$ ) is a shooting point for a billiard path $P^{\prime}$ with the same signature $S^{\prime}=S$.

Proof. Let $P=\left\langle p_{1} \ldots p_{k}\right\rangle$ and $P^{\prime}=\left\langle p_{1}^{\prime} \ldots p_{k}^{\prime}\right\rangle$, where $p_{1}=p_{s}$ and $p_{1}^{\prime}=p_{s}^{\prime}$. We claim, for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant k$, that the points $p_{i}$ and $p_{i}^{\prime}$ touch the same edge (resulting in the same signature) and are within distance $\alpha$.

We know that $p_{s}$ and $p_{s}^{\prime}$ are at distance $\alpha$. Also, $p_{s}$ and $p_{s}^{\prime}$ reside on the same edge, as otherwise there would be a point from $p_{s}$ at distance $\beta<\alpha$ such that it would touch a corner (the contradiction).

Assume that for all $p_{1}$ to $p_{i}$ and $p_{1}^{\prime}$ to $p_{i}^{\prime}$, our claim is true, and $p_{i}$ and $p_{i}^{\prime}$ reside on some upper edge (if not, rotate the whole collection of rectangles until it satisfies). Let $p_{j}=p_{i+1}$ and $p_{j}^{\prime}=p_{i+1}^{\prime}$. It is easy to see that $\left\|p_{j}-p_{j}^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}=\left\|p_{i}-p_{i}^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}=\alpha$ (see Figure 7): If $p_{j}$ and $p_{j}^{\prime}$ both reside on a horizontal edge, then we have $d\left(p_{j}, p_{j}^{\prime}\right)=\alpha$ (because $p_{j} p_{j}^{\prime}$ is parallel to $\left.p_{i} p_{i}^{\prime}\right)$. If $p_{j}$ and $p_{j}^{\prime}$ both reside on a vertical edge, then $d\left(p_{j}, p_{j}^{\prime}\right)=\alpha \cdot \tan \left(45^{\circ}\right)=\alpha$. Thus we have proven our claim.


Figure 7: Shooting rays to the right.
Note that (as shown in Figure 8) there are three cases if a ray touches the corner of some rectangle.


Figure 8: Three cases when a ray touching the corner of some rectangle.

Lemma 2. For each signature, there exists a path with the same signature touching a corner of a rectangle.
Proof. By translating the shooting point $p_{s}$ of a path $P$ slightly to right, unless we touch a corner of a rectangle, our signature remains the same (from Lemma 1).

Over translation, if a corner touches, which is one of the three cases in Figure 8, we still can have the same signature as before. If Case 2 (resp. Case 3 and Case 1) occurred when touching the corner, and we move the path more to the right (resp. up and left/down), then the corresponding signature changes. Therefore, we can charge any path $P$ and its signature to (one of the three cases of) some corner. In Figure 9, the translated path can touch three corners $p_{1}$ (Case 2), $p_{2}$ (Case 1 ), and $p_{3}$ (Case 3 ) with the same signature as before.

Note that it not possible that a translated path touches a corner of the outer rectangle before a corner of an inner rectangle. If there exists such a path (see the blue dashed path in Figure 10), then a bit before touching the corner of the outer rectangle the path must lead to self-intersection, which is not a valid billiard path.

If the translated path touches a corner of the outer rectangle, where at the same time it touches a corner of an inner rectangle, then the path reflects to itself (a degenerate case); we interpret this case which will have the


Figure 9: The dashed blue path is the translation of the billiard path.


Figure 10: A path touches a corner of the outer rectangle before a corner of an inner rectangle.
same signature as before. Consider the billiard path in Figure 11 (with signature $S=\ldots 13124 \ldots$ ). We can say that the translated path first reflects to the rectangle side 3 (Case 2), next touches both rectangle sides 1 and 2 , respectively, at the cornet of the outer rectangle, and finally reflects to the rectangle side 4 (Case 3 ). This implies that both Case 2 and Case 3 occur at the same time (and we say that the signature of the translated path is still $S=\ldots 13124 \ldots$. .


Figure 11: A translated path touches both a corner of the outer rectangle and a corner of an inner rectangle.
From above lemma, the following results:
Corollary 1. There exists a rectangle corner from which a shooting ray can produce the maximum-length signature.
Theorem 2. For a collection of axis-aligned rectangles, all enclosed in one rectangle, with a total of $n$ edges, there are at most $1.5 n-6$ distinct signatures.

Proof. From Lemma 2, over translation of a path to the right, each signature $S$ can be charged to a corner (one of the three cases, in Figure 8) of a rectangle. Thus we have at most $3(n-4)$ distinct signatures.

Note that by translating the path in the opposite direction (i.e., translating to the left), without changing the signature $S$, the path touches another corner. This implies that each signature is counted twice. Thus the number of distinct signatures is at most $3(n-4) / 2$.

## 4. Discussion

For a set of axis-aligned rectangles with a total of $n$ edges, we gave an upper bound $4.5 n-9$ for the maximum length of a signature, showed an arrangement of rectangles with signature length $1.25 n+2$, and proved that the number of distinct signatures is at most $1.5 n-6$. It would be interesting to improve these bounds. Also, another interesting question is to provide an efficient algorithm to find the maximum-length signature.
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